

Youth Organizations as Catalysts for Conflict Resolution: Insights from the Historical Legacy of the Youth Pledge

Yohanes E G H Nainggolan

Universitas Pertahanan RI, Indonesia

Email: yohanesngln@gmail.com

Pujo Widodo

Universitas Pertahanan RI, Indonesia

Email: pujowidodo78@gmail.com

Djayeng Tirto Soedarmono

Universitas Pertahanan RI, Indonesia

Email: djayengtirto83@gmail.com

Anang Puji Utama

Universitas Pertahanan RI, Indonesia

Email: anang.utama@idu.ac.id

Badia Ni Partahi Nainggolan

Universitas Pertahanan RI, Indonesia

Email: badianainggolan@gmail.com

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.58818/ijems.v5i2.310>

Abstract

In the contemporary global context, societies increasingly face complex social conflicts driven by identity politics, ideological polarization, and socio-economic disparities. Youth organizations have emerged as important actors in promoting social cohesion, dialogue, and conflict resolution. This study examines the role of youth organizations as catalysts for conflict resolution by drawing insights from the historical legacy of the Youth Pledge (*Sumpah Pemuda*) of 1928. The research aims to analyze how youth-led collaboration contributed to national unity and how the values embedded in the Youth Pledge remain relevant for addressing contemporary social conflicts. This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) combined with a simplified qualitative meta-analytic synthesis to examine scholarly literature related to youth movements, conflict resolution, and peacebuilding. The literature was collected from major academic databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus-indexed journals, and Web of Science, focusing primarily on studies published within the last five years. The findings indicate that youth organizations historically played a critical role in fostering unity through cross-regional collaboration and collective identity formation, which culminated in the declaration of the Youth Pledge. The study also identifies key values (unity in diversity, collective identity, national solidarity, and collaborative leadership) as relevant frameworks for modern conflict resolution. In contemporary contexts, youth organizations contribute to peacebuilding through community dialogue, tolerance campaigns, and grassroots mobilization for social harmony.



Keywords: Youth Pledge Legacy, Conflict Resolution, Youth Organizations

Introduction

In the contemporary global landscape, societies are increasingly confronted with complex forms of social conflict shaped by identity politics, ideological polarization, and socio-economic disparities. Rapid globalization, digital communication, and the proliferation of social media platforms have intensified identity-based divisions, often amplifying ethnic, religious, and political tensions within and across communities. These dynamics contribute to the emergence of fragmented societies in which competing narratives and group identities challenge social cohesion and stability. Recent studies emphasize that social cohesion remains a crucial factor in mitigating conflict and fostering resilient societies, particularly in regions affected by political instability and communal tensions (Vonhm, 2025). Consequently, understanding the social mechanisms that promote dialogue, tolerance, and cooperation has become a central concern in contemporary conflict resolution discourse.

Within this context, youth have increasingly been recognized as pivotal actors in shaping both the trajectory of conflict and the prospects for peacebuilding. Rather than merely being perceived as passive victims or potential sources of instability, young people are now widely acknowledged as agents capable of contributing constructively to conflict prevention, reconciliation, and social transformation. Research on youth engagement in peacebuilding demonstrates that when provided with meaningful opportunities for participation, young people exhibit strong capacities for innovation, resilience, and community mobilization in addressing local tensions and fostering peaceful coexistence (Chigudu, 2025; Kithinji, 2024). Furthermore, global policy frameworks, including initiatives related to the Youth, Peace, and Security agenda, increasingly emphasize the strategic importance of youth participation in strengthening inclusive governance and sustaining peace.

One of the most significant institutional channels through which youth contribute to peacebuilding is the formation and mobilization of youth organizations. These organizations function as platforms for civic engagement, enabling young individuals to collaborate across social, ethnic, and cultural boundaries while promoting dialogue and collective problem-solving. Empirical studies indicate that youth-led communities frequently employ strategies such as intergroup dialogue, cultural engagement, and community-based education to mitigate tensions and strengthen interfaith or intercultural understanding (Fadhilah et al., 2025). At the international level, youth networks and organizations have also played a critical role in advancing grassroots peace initiatives and facilitating collaborative approaches to conflict prevention and reconciliation. As such, youth organizations represent an essential catalyst for nurturing social cohesion and advancing sustainable peace in contemporary societies (ASEAN Institute for Peace and Reconciliation, 2025).

Youth organizations are widely recognized as critical actors in processes of social transformation, functioning as institutional platforms through which young people articulate collective aspirations and advocate for societal change. Contemporary scholarship increasingly conceptualizes youth not merely as passive beneficiaries of development but as proactive agents capable of shaping political, social, and cultural structures. Through civic participation, advocacy, and collective mobilization, youth organizations facilitate the emergence of new social narratives and contribute to the reconfiguration of power relations within society. Studies on youth activism highlight that organized youth movements often employ innovative strategies (ranging from grassroots community initiatives to digital mobilization) to address societal challenges and influence policy discourse (Kumi et al., 2025; Wray-Lake et al., 2025). Such dynamics demonstrate that youth organizations serve as important intermediaries between civil society and governance structures, enabling young people to participate meaningfully in processes of social transformation.

Beyond their political and civic functions, youth organizations also play a significant role in mobilizing communities and strengthening social solidarity. Collective engagement within youth networks fosters shared identities, mutual trust, and collaborative problem-solving, which are essential elements for building resilient societies. In the digital era, youth-led initiatives increasingly utilize online platforms to expand participation, mobilize support, and coordinate collective action across geographical boundaries. Research on youth political participation demonstrates that social media and digital networks have become powerful tools for mobilization, enabling young activists to rapidly organize movements, amplify social issues, and cultivate solidarity among diverse groups (Nugroho, 2025; Wahyuningroem et al., 2024). These developments illustrate how youth organizations combine traditional forms of civic engagement with digital activism to create new forms of social mobilization and participatory governance.

Historically, youth movements have played decisive roles in shaping national trajectories and political transformations across different regions of the world. From student movements advocating democratic reforms in Eastern Europe to youth-led civic mobilization in Asia and Africa, young people have frequently emerged as catalysts for social change and political renewal. Contemporary examples of youth-driven movements—such as global climate activism, pro-democracy mobilizations, and anti-corruption protests—demonstrate the enduring capacity of youth to challenge entrenched power structures and promote progressive reforms (Shadabi, 2025). Furthermore, international development institutions emphasize that youth-led organizations contribute significantly to peacebuilding, governance reforms, and community development by fostering inclusive participation and civic responsibility among younger generations (UNDP, 2026). These historical and contemporary experiences collectively underscore the transformative potential of youth organizations as dynamic engines of social change.

The Youth Pledge (*Sumpah Pemuda*) of 1928 represents a pivotal milestone in the formation of Indonesian national consciousness. Emerging during the late colonial period, the pledge was declared at the Second Youth Congress held in Batavia on 27–28 October 1928, where young leaders from various regions proclaimed their commitment to “one motherland, one nation, and one language—Indonesia.” This declaration reflected a collective realization among educated youth that overcoming colonial domination required transcending ethnic and regional identities in favor of a unified national identity. Scholars note that the Youth Pledge marked a crucial turning point in Indonesia’s nationalist movement by articulating a shared political and cultural vision that later contributed to the emergence of an independent nation-state (Khumaeroh et al., 2025; Supratiknya, 2021).

The formulation of the Youth Pledge was made possible through the collaboration of numerous youth organizations that had previously operated along regional or cultural lines. Organizations such as Jong Java, Jong Sumatranen Bond, Jong Celebes, Jong Batak, Jong Ambon, and Jong Islamieten Bond brought together young intellectuals and activists from across the archipelago, creating networks of communication and solidarity that transcended local identities. These organizations played a crucial role in organizing congresses, facilitating intellectual exchanges, and promoting nationalist ideas among educated youth communities. The Second Youth Congress, attended by hundreds of participants from these associations, became a forum where diverse youth groups collectively articulated the aspiration for national unity and independence (VOI History Archive, 2024).

Beyond its immediate historical context, the Youth Pledge has since evolved into a powerful symbol of national unity and collective identity in Indonesia. The pledge emphasized the importance of shared identity despite ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity—an idea that continues to underpin Indonesia’s national philosophy and civic values. Historical analyses indicate that the spirit of unity generated by the Youth Pledge strengthened the nationalist

movement in subsequent decades and significantly influenced the struggle for independence in 1945. In this sense, the Youth Pledge not only represents a historical event but also embodies enduring principles of solidarity, inclusiveness, and collective responsibility that remain relevant in contemporary discussions on social cohesion and national integration (Aldo, 2025; Museum Sumpah Pemuda, 2024).

Despite the extensive historical scholarship on the Youth Pledge and the Indonesian nationalist movement, relatively limited research has explored how the legacy of this historic declaration can inform contemporary frameworks of conflict resolution and peacebuilding. Most existing studies focus primarily on the role of the Youth Pledge in shaping Indonesian nationalism, identity formation, or historical narratives of independence. For instance, recent research on Indonesian youth identity highlights the importance of national identity and collective belonging among young generations, yet these studies seldom analyze how historical youth movements can serve as conceptual models for addressing modern social conflicts (Khumaeroh et al., 2025; Supratiknya, 2021).

In contemporary societies characterized by increasing social polarization, identity-based tensions, and ideological fragmentation, the historical experience of youth-led unity movements offers valuable insights for conflict management and social cohesion. The Youth Pledge demonstrated that youth organizations possess the capacity to transcend regional and cultural divisions through dialogue, collaboration, and shared collective goals. However, scholarly discussions rarely connect these historical experiences with modern theories of conflict resolution, peacebuilding, and youth civic engagement. This gap indicates the need for interdisciplinary research that integrates historical analysis with contemporary conflict studies to better understand how youth movements can contribute to sustainable peace and social harmony in pluralistic societies.

Therefore, this study aims to address this gap by examining the role of youth organizations as catalysts for conflict resolution through the lens of the historical legacy of the Youth Pledge. Specifically, the research seeks to analyze how youth organizations can facilitate dialogue, solidarity, and social integration in conflict-prone contexts. Furthermore, it aims to identify and reinterpret the core values embedded in the Youth Pledge—such as unity, collective identity, and intergroup cooperation—and evaluate their relevance for addressing contemporary social conflicts. By bridging historical insight with modern conflict resolution frameworks, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of how youth-led initiatives can promote social cohesion and peaceful coexistence in diverse societies.

Research methods

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) combined with a simplified meta-analysis approach to examine the role of youth organizations as catalysts for conflict resolution through insights derived from the historical legacy of the Youth Pledge. The systematic literature review method is widely used in social science research to synthesize existing knowledge, identify patterns across studies, and generate conceptual insights based on accumulated scholarly evidence. By systematically collecting and analyzing relevant academic literature, this study aims to construct a comprehensive understanding of how youth organizations contribute to social cohesion, peacebuilding, and conflict resolution. The use of SLR allows researchers to ensure transparency, reproducibility, and rigor in reviewing prior studies related to youth movements, nationalism, and conflict management (Page et al., 2021; Snyder, 2019).

The literature search process was conducted using several major academic databases, including Google Scholar, Scopus-indexed journals, Web of Science, and other open-access scholarly repositories. Keywords used in the search process included youth organizations, youth movements, conflict resolution, peacebuilding, youth participation, and Youth Pledge (Sumpah Pemuda). The inclusion criteria for the reviewed literature were: (1) peer-reviewed

journal articles, books, or institutional reports published primarily within the last five years; (2) studies discussing youth organizations, youth movements, or youth engagement in social transformation and conflict resolution; and (3) literature providing historical or conceptual analysis related to the Youth Pledge or youth-led nationalism movements. Studies that lacked clear methodological descriptions or were not directly relevant to the research focus were excluded from the analysis.

Following the identification and screening of relevant sources, the selected studies were analyzed using a simplified meta-analytic synthesis to identify recurring themes, conceptual patterns, and empirical findings related to the role of youth organizations in fostering social cohesion and resolving conflict. Rather than conducting a statistical meta-analysis, this research applies a qualitative synthesis approach that compares findings across studies to generate broader analytical insights. The analysis focused on identifying three main dimensions: (1) the mechanisms through which youth organizations mobilize social solidarity, (2) the contribution of youth movements to conflict prevention and peacebuilding, and (3) the relevance of historical youth movements—particularly the Youth Pledge—as normative references for contemporary conflict resolution practices. Through this integrative approach, the study seeks to bridge historical perspectives and contemporary theoretical frameworks in understanding the transformative potential of youth organizations in promoting peaceful and cohesive societies.

Results and Discussion

Historical Role of Youth Organizations in the Youth Pledge

The emergence of youth organizations in the early twentieth century played a fundamental role in shaping the trajectory of Indonesia's nationalist movement prior to the declaration of the Youth Pledge in 1928. During the late colonial period under Dutch rule, various youth associations were established primarily by educated indigenous students who had access to modern education and were increasingly exposed to nationalist ideas. Organizations such as *Jong Java* (1915), *Jong Sumatranen Bond* (1917), *Jong Ambon*, *Jong Celebes*, and *Jong Batak* initially focused on cultural preservation, education, and regional identity. However, over time, these organizations evolved into important platforms for political awareness, where discussions expanded toward issues of colonial domination, national consciousness, and the aspiration for independence. Historical studies emphasize that these youth associations played a crucial role in fostering early nationalist sentiments and intellectual discourse among the emerging educated class (Elson, 2008; Ricklefs, 1993).

One of the most significant contributions of these early youth organizations was their ability to foster collaboration across ethnic, regional, and cultural boundaries within the Indonesian archipelago. Despite being rooted in local identities, youth leaders increasingly recognized the importance of unity in confronting colonial rule. This growing awareness led to greater cooperation among youth groups, culminating in the First Youth Congress in 1926 and the Second Youth Congress in 1928 in Batavia. These congresses functioned as critical platforms for dialogue and negotiation, allowing representatives from diverse organizations to exchange ideas and formulate a shared vision of national unity. Scholars argue that this process of inter-organizational interaction marked a transition from regionalism toward a collective Indonesian identity, thereby strengthening the foundation of the nationalist movement (Kahin, 2018; Laffan, 2003).

The declaration of the Youth Pledge (*Sumpah Pemuda*) on 28 October 1928 marked a decisive moment in the consolidation of Indonesian nationalism. By proclaiming one motherland, one nation, and one language—Indonesia—youth organizations articulated a unified political and cultural commitment that transcended ethnic and regional divisions. This declaration symbolized the successful integration of diverse youth movements into a cohesive

nationalist vision. Beyond its symbolic significance, the Youth Pledge also reinforced organizational networks among nationalist actors and strengthened the legitimacy of the independence movement. As such, it can be understood as both a culmination of earlier youth-led mobilization and a strategic milestone that accelerated the development of a unified Indonesian national identity (Anderson, 2020; Vickers, 2013).

Youth Organizations as Catalysts for Unity

Youth organizations play a significant role in fostering social cohesion by creating mechanisms that encourage solidarity among individuals from diverse backgrounds. Through structured activities such as leadership development programs, civic engagement initiatives, and community-based projects, youth organizations cultivate a sense of shared identity and collective responsibility. These platforms provide inclusive spaces where young people can collaborate across social boundaries, thereby strengthening interpersonal trust and social capital. Empirical research demonstrates that youth civic participation contributes to increased collective efficacy and encourages individuals to prioritize common societal goals over narrow group interests, ultimately reinforcing social cohesion (Checkoway, 2011; Kawashima-Ginsberg et al., 2025).

Another critical mechanism through which youth organizations promote unity is the facilitation of dialogue across diverse social, cultural, and ideological identities. In pluralistic societies, identity-based divisions often give rise to misunderstanding and social tension. Youth organizations help mitigate these challenges by organizing intergroup dialogues, intercultural exchanges, and collaborative initiatives that promote mutual understanding and respect. Such engagements enable participants to recognize shared values while appreciating diversity, thereby reducing prejudice and fostering inclusive identities. Studies in peacebuilding and civic education indicate that sustained intergroup dialogue is effective in reducing social polarization and strengthening cooperative relationships across divided communities (Flanagan & Christens, 2011).

In addition to facilitating dialogue, youth organizations are also instrumental in mobilizing ideas of unity and collective identity within society. Through advocacy campaigns, social movements, and civic education efforts, youth groups promote narratives centered on inclusivity, social justice, and national solidarity. In the digital era, these efforts are increasingly amplified through social media and online platforms, enabling youth organizations to reach wider audiences and mobilize participation more effectively. Research on digital civic engagement highlights that online networks enhance the capacity of youth movements to disseminate inclusive narratives, coordinate collective action, and influence public discourse. Consequently, youth organizations function not only as spaces for engagement but also as dynamic catalysts that mobilize societal commitment to unity and peaceful coexistence (Loader et al., 2014).

Values of the Youth Pledge Relevant to Conflict Resolution

The Youth Pledge of 1928 represents more than a symbolic historical declaration; it embodies a set of normative values that remain highly relevant to contemporary approaches to conflict resolution and social cohesion. At its core, the pledge articulated a collective commitment to unity despite the vast ethnic, linguistic, and cultural diversity of the Indonesian archipelago. This principle aligns closely with the concept of “unity in diversity,” which emphasizes the coexistence of multiple identities within a shared political community. In conflict resolution discourse, recognizing diversity while fostering common goals is essential for reducing identity-based tensions and promoting inclusive dialogue. Scholars argue that inclusive national frameworks and multicultural recognition play a crucial role in maintaining stability and preventing fragmentation in plural societies (Banks, 2006; Modood, 2019).

Another key value embedded in the Youth Pledge is the formation of a collective identity that transcends regional and ethnic affiliations. Prior to the pledge, youth organizations largely operated within localized identities, reflecting Indonesia’s socio-cultural diversity. However, the declaration of “one nation, Indonesia” introduced a unifying identity that became the foundation for cooperation and solidarity. In conflict resolution theory, shared identity formation is widely recognized as a critical mechanism for mitigating intergroup conflict, as it redirects group loyalties toward common objectives rather than competitive interests. Research in social identity and intergroup relations highlights that superordinate identities can significantly reduce prejudice and foster cooperative behavior among diverse communities (Dovidio et al., 2007; Gaertner et al., 2015).

Furthermore, the Youth Pledge reflects the principles of national solidarity and collaborative leadership, both of which are essential for sustaining social harmony in diverse societies. National solidarity emphasizes collective responsibility in maintaining unity and addressing shared challenges, while collaborative leadership underscores inclusive participation and shared decision-making across different social groups. The process leading to the Youth Pledge itself exemplified these principles, as it emerged through dialogue and cooperation among various youth organizations representing different regions and cultural backgrounds. Contemporary peacebuilding literature identifies collaborative leadership and participatory engagement as key factors in building trust and achieving sustainable conflict resolution outcomes in multiethnic contexts (OECD, 2021; UNDP, 2024).

In addition, the values embedded in the Youth Pledge can be further understood through the lens of contemporary peacebuilding frameworks that emphasize the importance of inclusive participation and sustained social interaction in preventing conflict. The integration of unity, shared identity, and collaborative engagement creates a foundation for what scholars describe as “social cohesion infrastructure,” where trust, mutual recognition, and collective responsibility become embedded within societal interactions. Such a framework is particularly relevant in plural societies, where maintaining balance between diversity and unity is essential for long-term stability. By reinforcing inclusive identity and participatory leadership, the principles of the Youth Pledge align with modern approaches that prioritize dialogue, cooperation, and community-based engagement as key strategies for sustainable peacebuilding and conflict transformation (OECD, 2021; UNDP, 2024).

Based on the reviewed literature, the core values of the Youth Pledge relevant to conflict resolution can be summarized as follows.

Table 1. Key Values of the Youth Pledge in Conflict Resolution

Core Value	Conceptual Meaning	Relevance to Conflict Resolution
Unity in Diversity	Recognition of cultural, ethnic, and linguistic diversity within a shared national framework	Reduces identity-based conflict and promotes inclusive coexistence
Collective Identity Formation	Development of a shared sense of belonging that transcends local or ethnic affiliations	Encourages cooperation and reduces intergroup rivalry
National Solidarity	Commitment to collective responsibility for national unity and stability	Strengthens social cohesion and mutual support among communities
Collaborative Leadership	Inclusive decision-making and cooperation among diverse groups	Facilitates dialogue, trust-building, and participatory conflict resolution

Source: Author’s synthesis based on Banks (2006), Gaertner et al. (2015), Dovidio et al. (2007), OECD (2021), and UNDP (2024).

The values embedded in the Youth Pledge demonstrate how historical youth movements can provide normative guidance for contemporary conflict resolution. By promoting unity within diversity, fostering collective identity, strengthening solidarity, and encouraging collaborative leadership, youth-led initiatives can create inclusive social environments that support dialogue and peaceful coexistence. These principles highlight the enduring relevance of historical youth mobilization as a reference for addressing modern social conflicts in pluralistic societies.

Mechanisms of Youth-led Conflict Resolution

Youth organizations play an increasingly important role in conflict resolution by facilitating community-based mediation processes at the grassroots level. In many contexts, youth groups act as relatively neutral and trusted actors capable of bridging divides between conflicting parties. Through informal mediation, dialogue facilitation, and community engagement, they contribute to de-escalating tensions and fostering constructive communication among stakeholders. Their close connection to local communities enables them to better understand cultural sensitivities and social dynamics, making them effective intermediaries in resolving disputes before escalation occurs. Empirical evidence from global peacebuilding studies shows that youth participation in local mediation strengthens trust, inclusivity, and long-term conflict management outcomes (Simpson, 2018; UNDP, 2024).

Another key mechanism through which youth organizations contribute to conflict resolution is the facilitation of intergroup dialogue among individuals and communities with diverse cultural, ethnic, or ideological backgrounds. In pluralistic societies, identity-based divisions often lead to prejudice and social fragmentation. Youth organizations address these challenges by organizing dialogue forums, intercultural exchanges, and collaborative community initiatives that encourage interaction and mutual understanding. Such processes allow participants to share perspectives, challenge stereotypes, and build empathy across group boundaries. Research in peace education highlights that sustained intergroup dialogue is effective in reducing hostility and promoting cooperative relationships in divided societies (Davies, 2003; Navarro-Castro & Nario-Galace, 2019).

In addition, youth organizations play a critical role in promoting tolerance, pluralism, and inclusive values through advocacy campaigns and civic education initiatives. By leveraging both offline programs and digital platforms, youth groups disseminate messages that emphasize peaceful coexistence and respect for diversity. In the digital era, social media has become a powerful tool for youth-led movements to amplify inclusive narratives and mobilize public engagement. Studies on digital civic participation demonstrate that youth activism in online spaces can shape public discourse, counter hate speech, and encourage more inclusive social norms (Loader et al., 2014; OECD, 2021).

Finally, youth organizations contribute to peacebuilding through social mobilization and community engagement. Through volunteerism, community service, and collaborative development programs, youth groups encourage collective action aimed at strengthening social cohesion. These initiatives often bring together individuals from different backgrounds to work toward shared goals, fostering trust and cooperation within communities. In conflict-affected settings, youth-led mobilization helps rebuild social networks and restore confidence in local institutions. Global frameworks on youth, peace, and security emphasize that empowering youth as active peacebuilders enhances the sustainability and effectiveness of conflict resolution efforts (Simpson, 2018; UNDP, 2026).

Contemporary Relevance

In contemporary societies, youth organizations continue to play a crucial role in addressing social tensions arising from political polarization, identity conflicts, and socio-economic inequalities. The rapid expansion of digital communication and globalization has

transformed the nature of conflict, often amplifying ideological divisions and facilitating the spread of misinformation. Within this context, youth organizations function as strategic platforms for civic engagement and inclusive dialogue, enabling young people to actively participate in addressing societal challenges. Through community-based initiatives and digital activism, youth-led movements contribute to conflict prevention by promoting participatory dialogue, strengthening democratic engagement, and encouraging collaborative problem-solving. Existing research highlights that youth civic engagement enhances social cohesion and fosters collective responsibility in managing social tensions (Checkoway, 2011; OECD, 2021).

The historical values embodied in the Youth Pledge of 1928 remain highly relevant in navigating contemporary social polarization. Principles such as unity, collective identity, and shared national commitment provide a normative foundation for managing diversity in pluralistic societies. In contexts marked by identity-based fragmentation, these values emphasize the importance of maintaining cohesion while respecting differences. The concept of inclusive national identity, as reflected in the Youth Pledge, aligns with contemporary theories of social cohesion that stress the importance of shared belonging in reducing intergroup conflict. Scholars argue that strengthening collective identity and inclusive narratives can mitigate polarization and foster mutual trust across diverse communities (Gaertner et al., 2020; Dovidio et al., 2021).

Furthermore, youth organizations possess significant potential as strategic actors in peacebuilding and conflict transformation. Global frameworks on youth, peace, and security emphasize that young people contribute innovation, adaptability, and long-term perspectives to conflict resolution processes. Youth-led organizations are particularly effective in implementing grassroots initiatives such as intergroup dialogue, civic education, and campaigns promoting tolerance and inclusivity. These efforts not only address immediate social tensions but also contribute to building resilient communities capable of managing future conflicts. Empowering youth as active participants in peacebuilding strengthens democratic institutions, enhances social cohesion, and supports the sustainability of peace processes in diverse societies (United Nations, 2018; UNDP, 2022).

Conclusion

This study highlights the significant historical role of youth organizations in shaping national unity and collective identity, particularly through the landmark event of the Youth Pledge in 1928. The emergence and collaboration of various youth organizations—representing diverse ethnic, cultural, and regional backgrounds—demonstrated the capacity of young people to transcend local identities and articulate a shared national vision. The Youth Pledge became a critical moment of consolidation in the Indonesian nationalist movement, symbolizing the collective commitment of youth to unity, common purpose, and national solidarity. The historical experience illustrates that youth-led mobilization and cross-organizational collaboration can serve as powerful instruments in fostering social cohesion and reducing divisions within pluralistic societies.

Furthermore, the values embedded in the Youth Pledge remain highly relevant for addressing contemporary social conflicts characterized by polarization, identity-based tensions, and ideological fragmentation. Principles such as unity in diversity, collective identity formation, national solidarity, and collaborative leadership offer important normative foundations for conflict resolution and peacebuilding efforts. In modern contexts, youth organizations continue to possess significant potential as catalysts for dialogue, social mobilization, and inclusive civic engagement. By revitalizing the spirit of cooperation and shared responsibility embodied in the Youth Pledge, youth organizations can play a crucial role in promoting peaceful coexistence, strengthening social cohesion, and contributing to sustainable peace in diverse societies.

Recommendations

Based on the findings of this study, several practical recommendations can be proposed to strengthen the role of youth organizations in promoting social cohesion and conflict resolution. At the policy level, governments should enhance programs aimed at empowering youth organizations by providing institutional support, funding mechanisms, and capacity-building initiatives that enable young people to actively participate in community development and peacebuilding efforts. In addition, the values embodied in the Youth Pledge—such as unity, tolerance, and collective responsibility—should be more systematically integrated into civic education and national character-building programs. Educational institutions can play a critical role in transmitting these principles to younger generations, thereby fostering a stronger sense of national identity and encouraging constructive engagement in addressing social tensions. At the institutional level, strengthening networks among youth organizations across regions and cultural backgrounds is also essential. Establishing collaborative platforms and partnerships between youth groups, civil society organizations, and educational institutions can facilitate dialogue, exchange of experiences, and joint initiatives that promote intercultural understanding and social harmony.

From an academic perspective, further research is needed to deepen the understanding of youth participation in peacebuilding and conflict resolution processes. Future studies should explore how youth organizations respond to emerging challenges such as digital polarization, identity-based conflicts, and socio-political fragmentation in contemporary societies. Expanding interdisciplinary research that integrates perspectives from political science, sociology, and peace studies would contribute to more comprehensive analytical frameworks regarding youth engagement in social transformation. Moreover, comparative research examining youth movements and organizations in different national contexts could provide valuable insights into diverse strategies for fostering unity and resolving conflicts. Such studies would not only enrich scholarly discourse but also offer practical lessons for policymakers and practitioners seeking to strengthen youth-led initiatives in promoting sustainable peace and social cohesion.

Reference

- Aldo, M. (2025). The pledge that breathed a nation. In *Medium Historical Essay*.
- Anderson, B. (2020). Imagined communities: Reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism. In *The new social theory reader* (pp. 282–288). Routledge.
- ASEAN Institute for Peace and Reconciliation. (2025). *Regional study on youth, peace, and security in ASEAN*.
- Banks, J. A. (2006). *Diversity and citizenship education: Global perspectives*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Checkoway, B. (2011). What is youth participation? *Children and Youth Services Review*, 33(2), 340–345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2010.09.017>
- Chigudu, D. (2025). Youth at the crossroads: balancing contributions to conflict and peace amid high unemployment in Africa. *Frontiers in Political Science*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2025.1599788>
- Davies, L. (2003). *Education and conflict: Complexity and chaos*. Routledge.
- Dovidio, J. F., Gaertner, S. L., & Saguy, T. (2007). Another view of “we”: Majority and minority group perspectives on a common ingroup identity. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 18(1), 296–330. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10463280701726132>

- Elson, R. E. (2008). *The idea of Indonesia: A history*. Cambridge University Press.
- Fadhilah, I., Rois, N., Ulumuddin, I. K., Ghoni, A. A., Adzkiya', U., & Binti Ab Ghani, N. I. (2025). Legal Perspectives on Religious Conflict Resolution Among Youth: A Study of Youth Community Strategies for Fostering Interfaith Harmony. *Jurnal Pengabdian Hukum Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Legal Community Engagement) JPPI*, 8(2), 397–418. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jphi.v8i2.32394>
- Flanagan, C. A., & Christens, B. D. (2011). Youth civic development: Historical context and emerging issues. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development*, 2011(134), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cd.307>
- Gaertner, S. L., Dovidio, J. F., Guerra, R., Hehman, E., & Saguy, T. (2015). A common ingroup identity: Categorization, identity, and intergroup relations. In *Handbook of prejudice, stereotyping, and discrimination* (pp. 433–454). Psychology Press.
- Kahin, G. M. (2018). *Nationalism and revolution in Indonesia*. Cornell University Press.
- Kawashima-Ginsberg, K., Siegel-Stechler, K., & Faraci, N. (2025). Understanding and promoting civic skills: toward an integrated conception of civic competencies. In *Research Handbook on Education and Democracy* (pp. 102–124). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803928111.00014>
- Khumaeroh, S., Alchumairoh, S. A., & Ajmain, M. (2025). Jejak Sejarah Pergerakan Nasional: Sumpah Pemuda sebagai Pemersatu Bangsa. *AHKAM*, 4(1), 226–236. <https://doi.org/10.58578/ahkam.v4i1.5140>
- Kithinji, B. (2024). Revitalizing Youth-Driven Peacebuilding and Conflict Prevention in Africa. *HAPSc Policy Briefs Series*, 5(2), 152–158. <https://doi.org/10.12681/hapscpbs.40821>
- Kumi, E., Yeboah, T., & Edudzie, E. (2025). ‘The youth are not given a listening ear’: A critical analysis of the contributions of youth-led civil society organisations to Africa’s development. *World Development Perspectives*, 39, 100711. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2025.100711>
- Laffan, M. F. (2003). *Islamic nationhood and colonial Indonesia: The umma below the winds*. Routledge.
- Loader, B. D., Vromen, A., & Xenos, M. A. (2014). Introduction: The networked young citizen: Social media, political participation and civic engagement. In *The networked young citizen* (pp. 1–13). Routledge.
- Modood, T. (2019). *Essays on secularism and multiculturalism*. ECPR Press.
- Museum Sumpah Pemuda. (2024). Sejarah Sumpah Pemuda. In *Ministry of Education and Culture of Indonesia*.
- Navarro-Castro, L., & Nario-Galace, J. (2019). Peace education: A pathway to a culture of peace (3rd ed.). In *Center for Peace Education*. Miriam College.
- Nugroho, B. H. (2025). Digital activism and youth participation in Indonesia: A qualitative study of social media’s role in contemporary social movements. *Priviet Social Sciences Journal*, 5(10), 91–105. <https://doi.org/10.55942/pssj.v5i10.603>
- OECD. (2021). OECD report on public communication: The global context and the way forward. In *OECD Publishing*. https://www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2021/12/oecd-report-on-public-communication_b74311bc/22f8031c-en.pdf

- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Ricklefs, M. C. (1993). *A History of Modern Indonesia since c. 1200*. MacMillan.
- Shadabi, Y. (2025). The Role of Youth Movements in Shaping Political Transitions. *Advanced Journal of Management, Humanity and Social Science*, 1(8), 525–534.
- Simpson, G. (2018). The missing peace: Independent progress study on youth, peace and security. In UNFPA.
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039>
- Supratiknya, A. (2021). National Identity in the Indonesian Youth [Identitas Nasional di Kalangan Orang Muda Indonesia]. *ANIMA Indonesian Psychological Journal*, 36(2), 231–273. <https://doi.org/10.24123/aipj.v36i2.3137>
- UNDP. (2024). Youth, peace and security: Fostering youth-inclusive political processes. In *United Nations Development Programme*. https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2024-01/undp-youth-peace-and-security-fostering-youth-inclusive-political_processes.pdf
- UNDP. (2026). Youth success stories in governance and peacebuilding. In *United Nations Development Programme*. https://www.undp.org/sites/g/files/zskgke326/files/2026-02/undp_knowledge_product_youth_success_stories_in_governance_and_peacebuilding_compr.pdf
- Vickers, A. (2013). *A history of modern Indonesia*. Cambridge University Press.
- VOI History Archive. (2024). *The ethical politics behind the Youth Oath in today's history*. <https://voi.id/en/memori/98749>
- Vonhm, M. E. (2025). Key elements of social cohesion in conflict-affected societies: a critical literature review. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2025.2586176>
- Wahyuningroem, S. L., Sirait, R., Uljanatunnisa, U., & Heryadi, D. (2024). Youth political participation and digital movement in Indonesia: the case of #ReformasiDikorupsi and #TolakOmnibusLaw. *F1000Research*, 12, 543. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.122669.3>
- Wray-Lake, L., Hope, E. C., Saavedra, J. A., Arce, M. A., & Conner, J. O. (2025). Youth activism: developing sociopolitical synergy across interest, knowledge, and involvement. *Applied Developmental Science*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10888691.2025.2481253>