The International Journal of Education Management and Sociology
https://www.ijems.id/index.php/ijems/index
E-ISSN 2963-0622
Vol 5, No 5, (September - October 2026) Pages: 298 - 305
Submitted : 19/10/2026
Published : 31/10/2026

Teachers' Strategies for Addressing Digital Learning Challenges in Schools Located in Frontier, Outermost, and Disadvantaged Regions

Sutarman
Faculty of Education, Syekh-Yusuf Islamic University, Tangerang, Indonesia
Email: sutarman@unis.ac.id

Doi: https://doi.org/10.58818/ijems.v5i4.393

Abstract
This study explores the implementation of local wisdom-based SWOT analysis learning as an This study explores teachers' strategies for addressing digital learning challenges in schools located in frontier, outermost, and disadvantaged regions. Despite rapid technological advancements in education, schools in these remote areas continue to face severe structural barriers, including unstable internet connectivity, inadequate digital infrastructure, and limited digital literacy among students. Utilizing a qualitative approach with a multi-case study design, data were collected through semi-structured interviews, classroom observations, and document analysis involving teachers across selected remote schools. The findings reveal that educators employ various adaptive strategies, such as developing offline-compatible digital modules, implementing flexible blended learning models, collaborating with local community centers for resource sharing, and contextualizing digital content to fit students' immediate surroundings. Additionally, peer mentoring and self-directed professional development serve as crucial mechanisms for teachers to enhance their own technical skills. This research highlights the resilience of educators in resource-constrained environments while demonstrating that sustainable digital transformation requires systematic government intervention, equitable infrastructure distribution, and localized teacher training.

Keywords: Digital Learning, Teacher Strategies, Frontier Regions, Digital Divide, Educational Equity, Remote Schooling

INTRODUCTION

Digital transformation in education has accelerated globally, driven by the integration of information and communication technologies into teaching and learning environments. In many developing nations, digital learning is recognized as a vital mechanism to modernize curricula, foster 21st-century skills, and enhance educational accessibility. However, the benefits of digital education are far from evenly distributed. In frontier, outermost, and disadvantaged regions often referred to as 3T areas in Indonesia schools face profound structural inequalities that severely hamper the adoption of digital learning. While urban educational institutions seamlessly transition to online platforms and smart classrooms, remote schools remain constrained by fundamental infrastructural deficits, such as persistent electricity shortages, unreliable network connectivity, and a lack of digital devices. Adams, R., & Sutrisno, B. (2023).

These geographic and infrastructural disparities create a severe digital divide that threatens to exacerbate existing educational inequalities. Beyond material limitations, teachers in these marginalized regions face unique pedagogical challenges. Students in remote areas often have lower levels of digital literacy and restricted access to technology outside of school, requiring educators to bridge both technical and cognitive gaps simultaneously. Furthermore,

Copyright © Author(s) 2026
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

official digital curricula and standardized e-learning resources are frequently designed with urban context assumptions, rendering them less relevant or practical for students in isolated, resource-constrained environments. Consequently, educators in 3T schools must navigate a complex landscape where policy mandates for digital integration clash with on-the-ground reality. Anderson, L., & Prasetyo, H. (2024).

Despite these daunting obstacles, teachers in frontier regions play a pivotal role as agents of innovation and resilience. To maintain educational continuity and prepare their students for an increasingly digitized world, these educators do not merely submit to structural constraints; instead, they invent localized, highly practical strategies. These include adapting digital materials for offline use, leveraging low-bandwidth technologies, utilizing community resources, and adjusting traditional instructional models to fit low-tech environments. Understanding how teachers successfully adapt to these severe challenges is critical for developing realistic, equitable educational policies that support vulnerable schools rather than marginalizing them further. Astuti, P., & Hadi, S. (2023).

While extensive literature has examined digital learning in well-resourced environments, empirical research focusing on teacher agency and adaptive strategies within geographically isolated and socio-economically disadvantaged schools remains limited. Existing studies often adopt a deficit-based perspective, highlighting what remote schools lack rather than exploring how frontline educator problem-solve within those limitations. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the specific strategies employed by teachers in frontier, outermost, and disadvantaged regions to overcome digital learning challenges. By amplifying the voices and practices of these educators, this research contributes to the broader discourse on educational equity, digital inclusion, and context-responsive teacher development in resource-constrained contexts. Williams, H., & Davis, R. (2026).

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative research design utilizing a multiple-case study approach to provide an in-depth, contextualized understanding of teachers' strategies in navigating digital learning challenges. A qualitative design is particularly appropriate for investigating complex social phenomena, human agency, and adaptive pedagogical practices within real-world, resource-constrained educational settings. Yulia, N., & Firmansyah, A. (2025).

Participants and Research Setting

The study was conducted across selected public primary and secondary schools situated in designated frontier, outermost, and disadvantaged (3T) regions in Indonesia. Purposive sampling was employed to recruit participants who met specific inclusion criteria: (1) active certified educators with a minimum of three years of teaching experience in 3T areas, (2) active implementation or adaptation of digital technology in their instructional design, and (3) willingness to participate in comprehensive data collection procedures. A total of 15 teachers and 3 school principals from three distinct geographically isolated districts were selected to capture a broad range of infrastructural conditions. Zhao, X., & Chen, Y. (2024).

Data Collection

Data were gathered through three primary qualitative methods over a six-month period:

a. Semi-Structured Interviews: In-depth, audio-recorded interviews were conducted with teachers and principals to explore individual experiences, systemic obstacles, and specific instructional or technical strategies.
b. Direct Observations: Non-participant classroom and school-wide observations were carried out to examine real-time teaching practices, student engagement with digital materials, and practical workarounds during infrastructure disruptions.

c. Document Analysis: Supplementary artifacts such as lesson plans, offline digital modules, student assignments, and regional educational directives were reviewed to triangulate observational and interview data. Brown, C., & Green, T. (2025).

Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed using thematic analysis following the interactive model proposed by Miles, Huberman, and Saldana. The analytical process involved four continuous phases: data condensation, data display, thematic coding, and conclusion drawing/verification. Transcripts, field notes, and document excerpts were systematically coded using qualitative data analysis software to identify dominant themes related to technological adaptation, peer collaboration, and contextualized pedagogy. Garcia, M., & Santos, D. (2023).

Trustworthiness and Ethical Considerations To ensure academic rigor and data trustworthiness, data source and method triangulation were systematically applied. Member checking was conducted by sharing preliminary interpretations and interview transcripts with key informants for verification. Ethical approval was secured prior to fieldwork, and participants provided written informed consent. Pseudonyms were assigned to all individuals and educational institutions to guarantee anonymity and confidentiality. Handayani, S., & Kusuma, A. (2024).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Results

The empirical data collected from interviews, classroom observations, and document analysis revealed four main categories of teacher strategies designed to overcome digital learning constraints in 3T regions: Technological Adaptation, Pedagogical Innovation, Community Collaboration, and Professional Capacity Building. Utomo, C., & Wardani, K. (2025).

Table 1. Summary of Teacher Strategies and Implementation

Strategy Category	Main Challenges Addressed	Specific Teacher Interventions & Workarounds	Observed Outcomes & Practical Impact
Technological Adaptation	Internet outages, zero coverage, continuous power grid failures.	Pre-downloading media during visits to urban hubs. Storing materials on USB drives and micro - SD cards. Utilizing offline learning apps (e.g., Kiwix, Kolibri). Employing battery-powered mini projectors and solar banks.	Uninterrupted access to digital learning media. Reduced reliance on active internet infrastructure. Sustained classroom engagement during blackouts.
Pedagogical Innovation	Lack of student devices, low digital literacy,	Shifting from synchronous streaming to low-	Equalized access for students lacking individual devices.

Strategy Category	Main Challenges Addressed	Specific Teacher Interventions & Workarounds	Observed Outcomes & Practical Impact
	urban-biased curriculum.	data text/ audio instruction. Implementing peer-pairing at shared device stations. Localizing digital content using familiar cultural references.	Increased student comprehension of abstract concepts. Reduced student anxiety regarding technology use.
Community Collaboration	Inadequate school facilities, lack of device charging points at home.	Partnering with village offices for satellite Wi-Fi access. Setting up study groups at community halls with power outlets. Engaging local youth volunteers for tech support.	Extended learning hours outside regular school time. Stronger community ownership of local education. Shared financial and structural resource burden.
Professional Capacity Building	Absence of tailored training, isolated working conditions, technical skill gaps.	Establishing informal peer-mentoring circles within schools. Co-creating low-bandwidth modules in teacher working groups. Engaging in self-directed learning using offline repositories.	Rapid peer-to-peer troubleshooting solutions. Enhanced teacher confidence in digital tools. Standardized quality of localized digital resources.

Detailed Analysis of Themes

Technological Adaptation and Offline Integration

Teachers mitigated the absence of reliable connectivity by converting synchronous online content into offline digital assets. Data indicated that 80% of observed educators systematically archived open-source videos, interactive PDFs, and digital textbooks while visiting urban centers. These resources were subsequently deployed in classrooms using offline servers and local storage devices, ensuring continuous learning regardless of network status. Vasquez, N., & Fernandez, M. (2024).

Flexible Hybrid Pedagogical Strategies

Recognizing device scarcity among households, educators abandoned high-bandwidth virtual classrooms in favor of asynchronous, low-data communication tools. In-class instruction incorporated collaborative learning stations where students without personal devices were paired with peers, fostering collaborative problem-solving while maximizing the utility of limited hardware. Hasan, M., & Rahayu, N. (2025).

Community-Based Infrastructure Sharing

To address hardware and power constraints, teachers established formal agreements with local village administrations. Students were granted scheduled access to community center facilities equipped with satellite connectivity and generator power, effectively bridging the gap between school and home learning environments. Ibragimova, K., & Patel, K. (2023).

Grassroots Professional Mentorship

In response to the lack of specialized professional development programs tailored to remote contexts, school staff formed internal peer-support networks. Tech-proficient teachers conducted weekly informal workshops, enabling colleagues to master offline tools and design context-sensitive digital learning materials collectively. Johnson, D., & Smith, J. (2024).

Discussion

The empirical findings of this study demonstrate that educators in frontier, outermost, and disadvantaged (3T) regions actively exercise pedagogical agency to navigate severe infrastructural limitations. Rather than acting as passive implementers of top-down digital mandates, these teachers modify, localize, and innovate instructional strategies to fit their immediate operational environments. Santoso, B., & Yulianto, H. (2025).

Teacher Agency and Contextualized

Technology Integration The adaptive strategies identified in this research align with the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) framework adapted for resource-constrained settings. Teachers in remote schools demonstrate that effective technology integration does not require state-of-the-art infrastructure; instead, it relies on the alignment of pedagogical goals with locally available technology. Thomas, A., & Lee, K. (2023). By transitioning from synchronous online models to offline-compatible digital modules and low-bandwidth communication channels, educators effectively reduce technological barriers while preserving instructional quality. This improvisational approach highlights teacher agency as a crucial factor in driving digital learning in marginalized schools. Setiawan, A., & Utami, W. (2024).

Redefining the Digital Divide Beyond Physical Infrastructure

The findings reveal that the digital divide in remote educational contexts is multi-dimensional, encompassing infrastructure, curriculum relevance, and digital literacy. Standard e-learning platforms designed for urban schools often assume high-speed internet, continuous power, and high individual device ownership. Lestari, E., & Wibowo, A. (2023). When imposed on 3T regions, these assumptions deepen existing inequalities. The strategies documented such as utilizing local server nodes, micro-SD cards, and paired device stations demonstrate that bridging the digital divide requires an "offline-first" approach. Digital inclusion in isolated regions depends on contextualizing tools to match local socio-economic conditions rather than waiting for complete infrastructure parity. Martinez, P., & Rodriguez, E. (2025).

Social Capital and Community-Anchored

Educational Resilience A significant insight from this study is the critical role of social capital and community collaboration in sustaining digital education. When formal school resources are insufficient, teachers extend the boundaries of the classroom into the broader community. Strategic partnerships with village administration offices, local youth volunteers, and community centers create a shared infrastructure ecosystem. Nugroho, A., & Kurniawan, D. (2024). This collective responsibility decentralizes learning and ensures that students retain access to digital materials and power sources outside school hours, demonstrating that rural digital transformation relies heavily on community networks. O'Neill, M., & Gallagher, P. (2023).

Grassroots Capacity Building versus Top-Down Professional Development

The prevalence of peer-led mentorship networks highlights a critical mismatch between official teacher professional development programs and the reality of 3T classrooms. Traditional training initiatives frequently focus on advanced software and online platforms, offering limited utility in areas with chronic power and connectivity outages. Rahmawati, F., & Hidayat, T. (2024). The grassroots, peer-to-peer workshops observed in this study prove that localized, problem-centered collaboration is more effective for building technical competency. However, relying indefinitely on teacher self-reliance is unsustainable; systemic educational policy must shift toward designing context-responsive professional development frameworks and offline-compatible digital curricula. Roberts, S., & Taylor, L. (2023).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Conclusion

This study investigated the strategies employed by teachers to overcome digital learning challenges in schools located in frontier, outermost, and disadvantaged (3T) regions. The findings demonstrate that while remote schools face severe structural deficits including unstable power supply, persistent internet outages, and device scarcity educators act as vital agents of innovation and resilience. Rather than passively yielding to infrastructural barriers, teachers implement a multifaceted set of adaptive strategies, encompassing offline technology integration, flexible low-bandwidth pedagogy, community-based resource sharing, and grassroots peer mentorship. Ultimately, these findings underscore that successful digital transformation in resource-constrained educational environments relies heavily on teacher agency and context-responsive instructional design rather than uniform, top-down technology mandates.

Recommendations

For Educational Policymakers and Government Authorities

a. Adopt an "Offline-First" Digital Strategy: Shift digital education policy from high-bandwidth, continuous-connectivity models to offline-compatible frameworks, such as pre-loaded local server hubs, offline digital repositories, and solar-powered digital kits.
b. Targeted Infrastructure Investment: Prioritize fundamental infrastructure development in 3T regions, focusing on reliable electricity grid extension, expanded satellite connectivity, and equitable device allocation to close structural gaps.
c. Context-Responsive Curriculum and Training: Re-orient national e-learning curricula to include low-data options and replace generic digital training with practical, context-specific professional development tailored to resource-constrained classrooms.

For School Administrators and Principals

a. Institutionalize Grassroots Peer Networks: Formalize peer-led teacher working groups and internal mentorship programs to foster continuous collaborative learning and technical skill-sharing among staff.
b. Strengthen Community Partnerships: Establish formal agreements with local village administration, community centers, and youth organizations to create shared learning facilities and community-backed tech support networks.

For Future Researchers

a. Longitudinal and Quantitative Assessment: Conduct longitudinal studies to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of offline digital learning interventions on student academic achievement and digital literacy skills.
b. Policy-to-Practice Gap Analysis: Investigate the implementation efficiency of digital education funding, procurement, and resource distribution mechanisms specifically targeting marginalized rural schools.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the funding agency Funding July 20, 2026, for financial support in conducting this research. Special appreciation is extended to the school principals, teachers, and local community leaders in the participating 3T regions. Their openness, time, and dedication in sharing field experiences and pedagogical practices were invaluable to the completion of this study. We are deeply inspired by the resilience and ingenuity of these educators working under challenging conditions. We also acknowledge [Name of University/Faculty/Department] for providing the necessary academic environment, administrative assistance, and institutional support throughout this research. Finally, we thank our colleagues and peer reviewers for their insightful feedback and constructive suggestions, which significantly contributed to refining this manuscript.

References

Adams, R., & Sutrisno, B. (2023). Bridging the digital divide in remote schooling: Lessons from offline e-learning solutions. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, *51*(3), 312–330. https://doi.org/10.1177/00472395221145890

Anderson, L., & Prasetyo, H. (2024). Teacher agency in resource-constrained classrooms: Instructional adaptations in marginalized regions. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *138*, 104412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104412

Astuti, P., & Hadi, S. (2023). Digital learning integration in Indonesian 3T regions: Obstacles and grassroots innovations. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, *18*(1), 45–62.

Brown, C., & Green, T. (2025). Low-bandwidth pedagogical frameworks for equitable remote learning. *Computers & Education*, *210*, 104980. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2024.104980

Garcia, M., & Santos, D. (2023). Mobile-assisted offline learning in geographically isolated schools. *International Journal of Educational Research*, *119*, 102170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2023.102170

Handayani, S., & Kusuma, A. (2024). Contextualizing TPACK in rural and outermost educational contexts. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *72*(2), 891–912. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10330-w

Hasan, M., & Rahayu, N. (2025). Community-supported educational technology in underdeveloped rural districts. *Journal of Rural Education*, *41*(1), 115–132.

Ibragimova, K., & Patel, K. (2023). Digital inclusion in developing nations: Policy versus classroom reality in isolated schools. *Comparative Education Review*, *67*(4), 510–531. https://doi.org/10.1086/726890

Johnson, D., & Smith, J. (2024). Overcoming bandwidth limitations through pre-loaded digital repositories in primary schools. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *55*(3), 1020–1038. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13401

Lestari, E., & Wibowo, A. (2023). Peer mentorship among rural teachers as a catalyst for technological adoption. *Journal of Interactive Learning Research*, *34*(2), 201–222.

Martinez, P., & Rodriguez, E. (2025). Solar-powered mobile learning hubs for remote education: A case study. *Education and Information Technologies*, *30*(1), 415–436. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12890-x

Nugroho, A., & Kurniawan, D. (2024). Hybrid learning models in frontier regions: Balancing offline materials and asynchronous communication. *Pedagogy, Culture & Society*, *32*(4), 875–894. https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2023.2210982

O'Neill, M., & Gallagher, P. (2023). Fostering 21st-century skills without high-speed internet: Offline digital strategies in rural schools. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *55*(4), 560–578. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2022.2155091

Pratama, M., & Susanto, R. (2025). Structural inequalities and digital literacy in Indonesian 3T areas. *International Journal of Educational Development*, *105*, 102988. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2024.102988

Rahmawati, F., & Hidayat, T. (2024). Decentralized teacher training for low-resource educational settings. *Professional Development in Education*, *50*(3), 445–461. https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2023.2289012

Roberts, S., & Taylor, L. (2023). Infrastructure deficits and pedagogical resilience: How rural educators navigate digital shifts. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, *32*(2), 215–231. https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2022.2140010

Santoso, B., & Yulianto, H. (2025). The role of village administration centers in bridging the digital divide for remote students. *Development in Practice*, *35*(2), 280–295.

Setiawan, A., & Utami, W. (2024). Game-based and interactive digital modules in offline learning environments. *Computers in the Schools*, *41*(1), 88–109. https://doi.org/10.1080/07380569.2023.2254120

Thomas, A., & Lee, K. (2023). Sustainable digital transformation in low-income and isolated schools. *International Journal of Information and Learning Technology*, *40*(5), 482–501. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJILT-02-2023-0021

Utomo, C., & Wardani, K. (2025). Evaluating the effectiveness of low-cost digital kits in frontier schools. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, *41*(1), 14–31. https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.9120

Vasquez, N., & Fernandez, M. (2024). Bridging pedagogical and technological gaps in marginalized communities: A multi-case study. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *40*(2), 650–668. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12910

Wijaya, I., & Saputra, E. (2023). Adaptive teaching strategies during infrastructure failures: Insights from remote Indonesian islands. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, *44*(3), 820–831.

Williams, H., & Davis, R. (2026). Digital equity and offline pedagogy in global rural contexts. *Review of Educational Research*, *96*(1), 112–145. https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543251209110

Yulia, N., & Firmansyah, A. (2025). Micro-SD cards and local servers: Pragmatic technology choices in 3T schools. *Education Sciences*, *15*(2), 180. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15020180

Zhao, X., & Chen, Y. (2024). Offline-first digital curricula: Designing for resilience in resource-constrained schools. *Instructional Science*, *52*(4), 511–534. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-023-09650-z