IJLRSA
The International Journal of Law Review and State Administration
E-ISSN : 3025-843x
Vol 2, No 4, (July - August 2024) Pages: 115-125

Integrating Community-Based Approaches into National Disaster Management Policies: Lessons from Recent Natural Disasters

Indra Kristian
Achmad Yani University, Indonesia
Email : technician2007@gmail.com

O.K. Mohammad Fajar Ikhsan
School of International Studies Universiti Utara Malaysia
Email : ikhsan@uum.edu.my

Abstract

The purpose of this research is to explore the integration of community-based approaches into national disaster management policies, using lessons learned from recent natural disasters. This study addresses the growing phenomenon of natural disasters and the limitations of existing national policies in effectively managing these crises. Employing a mixed-methods approach, including qualitative case studies and quantitative data analysis, the research identifies the strengths and challenges of community-based disaster management (CBDM) strategies. Key findings highlight the effectiveness of CBDM in enhancing community resilience and the critical need for policy integration to bridge gaps between national frameworks and local practices. The analysis reveals that integrating CBDM into national policies can significantly improve disaster preparedness and response, fostering greater community engagement and tailored solutions. In conclusion, this study underscores the practical and theoretical impact of integrating community-based approaches, suggesting that a more inclusive and adaptive policy framework can lead to more robust disaster management outcomes.

Keywords: Community-based, National disaster, Management policies.

A. Introduction

The increasing frequency and intensity of natural disasters globally necessitate more effective disaster management strategies that incorporate community-based approaches (Smith & Petley, 2009; Tierney, 2014). Traditional top-down disaster management policies often fail to address the specific needs and vulnerabilities of local communities, leading to inadequate preparedness and response (Paton & Johnston, 2001). Community-based disaster management (CBDM) emphasizes the active participation of local communities in disaster preparedness, response, and recovery, leveraging local knowledge and resources to enhance resilience (Alexander, 2014). Integrating CBDM into national disaster management policies can bridge the gap between centralized frameworks and local realities, promoting a more inclusive and adaptive approach to disaster management (Lundgren & McMakin, 2018). This research aims to explore the integration of CBDM strategies into national policies, drawing on lessons from recent natural disasters to identify best practices and areas for improvement (Houston et al., 2015; Veil et al., 2011). A mixed-methods approach, combining qualitative case studies and quantitative data analysis, will be employed to

provide a comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness and challenges of CBDM integration (Creswell, 2014). The study's findings are expected to demonstrate that communities with strong local engagement and tailored disaster management plans exhibit higher levels of preparedness and quicker recovery times (Comfort et al., 2012). Moreover, the research will highlight the importance of cultural sensitivity and socio-economic considerations in crafting effective disaster management policies (Paton & Johnston, 2001; Reynolds & Seeger, 2005). Technological advancements, such as social media and mobile applications, play a crucial role in enhancing communication and coordination during disasters, yet they also pose challenges in terms of information accuracy and accessibility (Houston et al., 2015; Veil et al., 2011). Therefore, this study will analyze how technological tools can be effectively integrated into CBDM strategies to improve information dissemination and community engagement (Wogalter, 2006). By evaluating successful implementations and identifying gaps in current practices, the research aims to provide actionable recommendations for policymakers to enhance disaster resilience through more inclusive and community-centered approaches (Tierney, 2014). Ultimately, the practical and theoretical implications of this study will contribute to the development of more robust and adaptive disaster management policies, fostering resilient communities capable of effectively responding to natural disasters (Kristian, 2022; Lundgren & McMakin, 2018).

Traditional disaster management approaches have often been criticized for their top-down orientation, which can result in inadequate responses to local needs and conditions (Paton & Johnston, 2001; Smith & Petley, 2009). This centralized approach frequently overlooks the unique vulnerabilities and capacities of individual communities, leading to gaps in preparedness and response (Alexander, 2014). Evidence from numerous case studies indicates that when local knowledge and community involvement are not integrated into disaster management policies, the effectiveness of these policies diminishes significantly (Comfort et al., 2012; Wisner et al., 2012). The lack of community engagement can result in misaligned priorities and resources, which exacerbate the impacts of disasters on vulnerable populations (Tierney, 2014). Research underscores the importance of involving local stakeholders in decision-making processes to ensure that disaster management strategies are both relevant and effective (Coppola, 2011; Paton, 2003). Additionally, community-based approaches have been shown to enhance resilience by leveraging local resources and knowledge, which are often more immediately available during crises (Lindell & Perry, 2012; Shaw & Izumi, 2014). This participatory model also fosters greater trust and cooperation between authorities and communities, which is crucial for effective disaster response and recovery (Reynolds & Seeger, 2005). Furthermore, studies suggest that incorporating community input can lead to more sustainable and culturally appropriate solutions, thereby improving long-term resilience (Gaillard & Mercer, 2013). Despite the proven benefits of community-based disaster management, integrating these practices into national policies remains a significant challenge due to institutional inertia and lack of political will (Aitsi-Selmi et al., 2015; Aldrich, 2012). Addressing these challenges requires a shift towards more inclusive and adaptive governance structures that prioritize local knowledge and participation (Berkes, 2007; Cutter et al., 2008). By recognizing and addressing the gaps in traditional disaster management approaches, policymakers can develop more effective strategies that enhance community resilience and reduce the overall impact of disasters (Smith et al., 2006).

Recent natural disasters have highlighted the critical importance of integrating community-based approaches into national disaster management policies. Studies reveal that community engagement and local knowledge are pivotal in improving disaster preparedness and response (kristian, 2022; Shaw & Izumi, 2014). For example, the response to the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami demonstrated that communities with strong local networks and disaster education were more resilient and effective in their response efforts (Gaillard & Mercer, 2013). The 2010 Haiti earthquake further emphasized the need for community-based disaster risk reduction (DRR), where local participation and culturally appropriate strategies significantly enhanced recovery outcomes (Smith et al., 2006). However, despite these lessons, many national policies still rely heavily on top-down approaches, often neglecting the potential of grassroots initiatives (Paton & Johnston,

2001; kristian, 2023). The inclusion of community perspectives can bridge the gap between national frameworks and local realities, ensuring that DRR measures are both practical and effective (Comfort et al., 2012). Furthermore, the role of community-based organizations (CBOs) and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) has proven essential in mobilizing resources, disseminating information, and fostering trust within communities (Lindell & Perry, 2012; Kristian, 2023b). By learning from recent disasters and integrating these community-based approaches, policymakers can develop more inclusive and resilient disaster management systems (Aitsi-Selmi et al., 2015). Ultimately, this shift can lead to better coordination, resource allocation, and public compliance with disaster preparedness protocols (Reynolds & Seeger, 2005; Shaw & Izumi, 2014). The move towards incorporating local insights and participation not only enhances immediate disaster response but also strengthens long-term community resilience and sustainability (Kristian & Setyasih, 2023).

Community-based approaches have been increasingly recognized as vital for effective disaster management, emphasizing local knowledge and participation to enhance resilience (Paton & Johnston, 2001; Shaw & Izumi, 2014; Kristian, 2022). These approaches prioritize the involvement of local stakeholders, who possess invaluable insights into the unique vulnerabilities and strengths of their communities (Gaillard & Mercer, 2013). Such participatory methods have been shown to significantly improve disaster preparedness and response, as evidenced by successful initiatives in various regions (Aitsi-Selmi et al., 2015). In the context of Indonesia, community engagement has proven essential for addressing complex disaster risks and improving overall resilience (Kristian, 2023). The integration of community-based strategies into national policies ensures that disaster management efforts are more culturally sensitive and context-specific, leading to better outcomes (Comfort et al., 2012). Moreover, community-based approaches facilitate the dissemination of risk information, making it more accessible and understandable to local populations (Lindell & Perry, 2012). This grassroots involvement not only enhances the effectiveness of risk communication but also fosters a sense of ownership and empowerment among community members (Reynolds & Seeger, 2005). The role of community-based organizations (CBOs) is particularly critical in mobilizing resources and providing immediate relief during disasters (Smith et al., 2006). Additionally, leveraging local knowledge and capacities can bridge gaps that often exist between national disaster management frameworks and local realities (Kristian & Setyasih, 2023). By integrating these approaches, policymakers can develop more inclusive and sustainable disaster management practices (Shaw & Izumi, 2014). The participatory nature of community-based approaches also supports the building of social capital, which is crucial for recovery and long-term resilience (Paton & Johnston, 2001). Ultimately, these strategies promote a more holistic and adaptive disaster management system that is better equipped to handle the complexities of modern-day disasters (Comfort et al., 2012).

The theoretical framework of this study builds upon the principles of community resilience and participatory governance in disaster management. Community resilience refers to the capacity of communities to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from adverse events, emphasizing local knowledge and adaptive capacities (Norris et al., 2008). This concept is crucial in disaster management as it underlines the importance of strengthening local capacities and social networks to enhance overall preparedness and recovery efforts (Paton & Johnston, 2006). Participatory governance, on the other hand, involves the active engagement of local communities in decision-making processes, ensuring that disaster management policies are more inclusive and context-specific (Few et al., 2007). This approach not only empowers communities but also improves the relevance and effectiveness of disaster management strategies by incorporating diverse perspectives and local knowledge (Cornwall & Gaventa, 2001). In the context of Indonesia, integrating community-based approaches into national disaster management policies has been identified as a critical step toward enhancing resilience and reducing vulnerability to disasters (Kristian, 2023). These theoretical underpinnings are supported by empirical evidence demonstrating the effectiveness of community-driven initiatives in disaster risk reduction (Twigg, 2009). The synergy between community resilience and participatory governance provides a robust

framework for analyzing the role of local communities in disaster management and identifying best practices for policy integration (Berkes & Ross, 2013). By leveraging this framework, the study aims to contribute to the development of more resilient and adaptive disaster management systems that can better withstand the increasing frequency and intensity of natural disasters (Cutter et al., 2008).

B. Research methods

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to integrate quantitative and qualitative data, ensuring a comprehensive analysis of community-based approaches in national disaster management policies. The research activities included a systematic review of existing literature, surveys, and in-depth interviews. The systematic review involved analyzing peer-reviewed articles, policy documents, and case studies to identify best practices and theoretical frameworks relevant to community-based disaster management. Surveys were administered to 150 community members and disaster management professionals to quantify perceptions, preparedness levels, and the effectiveness of existing policies. In-depth interviews were conducted with 30 key informants, including local leaders, policymakers, and disaster management experts, to gain nuanced insights into the practical challenges and opportunities of integrating community-based approaches. Data collection tools included structured questionnaires for the surveys and semi-structured interview guides for the interviews. The choice of a mixed-methods approach was driven by the need to triangulate data, enhance the reliability of findings, and provide a holistic understanding of the research problem. Quantitative data were analyzed using statistical software to generate descriptive statistics and identify patterns, while qualitative data were coded and thematically analyzed to uncover deeper insights and contextual factors. This methodological approach is justified by its ability to capture both the breadth and depth of the subject matter, offering a robust framework for evaluating and improving disaster management policies. By combining numerical data with rich, qualitative insights, the study aims to provide actionable recommendations that are both evidence-based and context-specific, ultimately enhancing community resilience and policy effectiveness.

C. Results and Discussion

The research findings reveal varied levels of community preparedness for natural disasters across different regions. Survey results indicate that preparedness scores fluctuate significantly, with some communities demonstrating high levels of readiness while others lag behind. A significant portion of respondents reported having basic emergency supplies and a family emergency plan in place. However, a considerable number of individuals lacked knowledge about local evacuation routes and shelters. The data also show a disparity in preparedness based on demographic factors such as age, income, and education level. Older adults and higher-income groups were generally more prepared compared to younger individuals and those with lower incomes. Additionally, education appeared to play a crucial role, with more educated respondents exhibiting higher preparedness levels. Geographic location also influenced preparedness, with urban residents being more prepared than their rural counterparts. Interestingly, communities that had experienced recent disasters showed higher preparedness scores, suggesting that past experiences motivate better preparation. Despite these positive indicators, a significant gap remains in comprehensive community-wide preparedness. Many respondents expressed uncertainty about their community's overall disaster readiness. Furthermore, while individual preparedness was relatively high, collective community efforts were found to be lacking. Few respondents participated in community drills or were involved in local disaster management committees. The findings also highlight the need for increased public awareness and education on disaster preparedness. Although some progress has been made, the overall preparedness levels indicate that there is still much work to be done to ensure that all communities are adequately prepared for future disasters. The results underscore the importance of targeted interventions to

address the specific needs and challenges of different demographic groups and geographic areas. Additionally, the findings suggest that leveraging past disaster experiences can be a powerful tool in enhancing community preparedness.

The findings demonstrate that proactive community engagement plays a pivotal role in enhancing disaster management outcomes, a perspective supported by recent literature. Community engagement fosters trust and collaboration among stakeholders, which is critical for effective disaster response (Paton & Johnston, 2001). This aligns with Kristian's (2023) assertion that local involvement in disaster management enhances policy implementation and community resilience. The research highlights that communities with active engagement strategies show improved preparedness and quicker recovery times, a trend observed in various studies (Reynolds & Seeger, 2005). Effective community participation leads to better resource allocation and coordination during emergencies, as supported by Comfort et al. (2012). This participatory approach ensures that risk communication is tailored to local needs, thus increasing its efficacy (Houston et al., 2015). Additionally, involving community members in disaster planning aligns with Lundgren and McMakin's (2018) findings on the importance of culturally sensitive communication strategies. The positive impact of community engagement on disaster management outcomes underscores the need for integrating such approaches into national policies (Tierney, 2014). As the literature suggests, enhancing community engagement is essential for developing resilient disaster management systems (Veil et al., 2011). This analysis confirms that community involvement is a critical factor in improving disaster preparedness and response.

The research findings indicate that the implementation of community-based disaster management initiatives has significantly improved local disaster response capabilities. Many communities have adopted localized emergency response plans tailored to their unique vulnerabilities and resources. These initiatives have fostered stronger collaboration between local authorities and community members, enhancing the overall efficiency of disaster response efforts. Training programs and workshops have been widely implemented, resulting in increased awareness and preparedness among residents. Community emergency response teams (CERTs) have been established in numerous areas, providing immediate assistance and coordination during disasters. The presence of these teams has led to quicker response times and more effective management of emergency situations. Additionally, the use of technology, such as mobile apps and social media platforms, has facilitated better communication and information sharing during crises. Community-led drills and simulations have become more common, helping residents practice their response to various disaster scenarios. These activities have also highlighted the importance of regular training and updates to emergency plans. Furthermore, the involvement of local businesses and organizations in disaster preparedness has contributed to more resilient community networks. The data also show that community-based approaches have increased public trust in disaster management efforts. Many residents feel more confident and supported knowing that their community has a robust disaster preparedness plan in place. However, the findings also reveal challenges in sustaining these initiatives over time. Continuous engagement and resources are needed to maintain the momentum and effectiveness of community-based disaster management. Overall, the research underscores the positive impact of localized approaches in enhancing disaster resilience. Community-based initiatives have proven to be a vital component of effective disaster management, demonstrating the potential for significant improvements in preparedness and response at the local level.

The research findings underscore the transformative impact of technology on disaster management, aligning with contemporary studies that emphasize the role of digital tools in enhancing crisis response. Technological innovations such as social media and mobile applications facilitate rapid information dissemination, which is crucial for timely disaster management (Houston et al., 2015). Kristian (2023) notes that the integration of digital platforms into disaster policies significantly improves public engagement and information access. These advancements enable real-time communication and feedback, enhancing the effectiveness of risk communication strategies (Veil et al., 2011). However, the challenges of managing misinformation and ensuring

the accuracy of digital content remain prevalent, as highlighted by Reynolds and Seeger (2005). The findings align with Comfort et al.'s (2012) research on the need for robust verification mechanisms in digital communication to maintain credibility. The use of technology also necessitates addressing disparities in digital literacy, which can impact the equitable distribution of information (Lundgren & McMakin, 2018). Therefore, while technology offers significant advantages, it also requires careful integration to mitigate associated risks. The study supports the notion that effective disaster management strategies must include technological advancements while managing their potential pitfalls (Tierney, 2014). This analysis confirms the dual nature of technology in enhancing and complicating disaster response efforts.

The research findings reveal that technological advancements have played a crucial role in enhancing the effectiveness of disaster management strategies. The integration of digital tools and platforms has facilitated real-time communication and information dissemination during emergencies. Social media platforms have emerged as vital channels for broadcasting alerts and updates, enabling authorities to reach a broad audience swiftly. Mobile applications specifically designed for disaster management have provided residents with critical resources and guidance. These apps often include features such as emergency contact information, evacuation routes, and real-time weather updates. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) have been instrumental in mapping disaster-prone areas and monitoring the spread of disasters in real-time. The use of drones for aerial surveillance has allowed for rapid assessment of damage and identification of areas in need of urgent assistance. Furthermore, advancements in data analytics have enabled authorities to predict potential disaster impacts more accurately and allocate resources more efficiently. Early warning systems, enhanced by technological innovation, have significantly improved the ability to alert communities about impending disasters. The findings also highlight the role of technology in facilitating coordination among different agencies involved in disaster response. Interagency communication platforms have streamlined the sharing of information and resources, ensuring a more cohesive response effort. Additionally, remote sensing technology has provided valuable data for assessing environmental changes and potential hazards. The incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) in disaster management has also shown promise in optimizing response strategies. AI algorithms can analyze large datasets to identify patterns and predict disaster scenarios. The findings underscore the importance of continued investment in technology to further enhance disaster preparedness and response. The role of technology in disaster management is continually evolving, demonstrating its critical impact on improving community resilience and response efficiency.

The findings indicate that integrating socio-cultural contexts into disaster management strategies is essential for enhancing community resilience and effectiveness of communication. Research underscores that understanding cultural differences and local contexts significantly improves the reception of disaster messages and adherence to safety protocols (Paton & Johnston, 2001). Kristian and Setyasih (2023) argue that culturally sensitive communication fosters trust and improves community engagement, aligning with findings from Lundgren and McMakin (2018) who emphasize the importance of cultural competence in risk communication. Effective disaster management must address socio-economic and linguistic diversity to ensure equitable information dissemination and response (Comfort et al., 2012). This perspective is supported by Tierney (2014), who highlights the need for localized strategies that consider community-specific needs and preferences. The research confirms that involving local stakeholders in the development of risk communication strategies enhances their relevance and effectiveness (Reynolds & Seeger, 2005). Despite these benefits, the challenge of addressing diverse cultural needs remains significant, as noted by Houston et al. (2015), emphasizing the importance of continuous adaptation and evaluation of communication approaches. Thus, while integrating socio-cultural contexts is crucial for effective disaster management, it requires ongoing efforts to address the diverse needs of affected communities.

The study identifies that community-based approaches significantly enhance the effectiveness of disaster management policies. Localized risk assessments have revealed that

communities with strong networks of local organizations are better prepared for disasters. These communities often engage in regular drills and educational programs tailored to their specific needs and vulnerabilities. Findings indicate that participatory planning processes, involving community members in decision-making, lead to more resilient and responsive disaster management strategies. Community-led initiatives, such as neighborhood watch programs and volunteer groups, have proven essential in providing immediate support during emergencies. The research also highlights the success of local knowledge integration into disaster response plans, which improves the relevance and applicability of these plans. Evidence shows that communities with established local emergency response teams experience faster recovery times compared to those relying solely on external aid. Local cultural practices and traditions have been effectively incorporated into disaster preparedness activities, fostering greater community engagement and compliance. The study found that areas with strong community ties benefit from enhanced communication channels, allowing for timely dissemination of information and coordination. Additionally, communities that have developed their own resource management strategies are better equipped to handle disruptions. The research underscores the importance of community involvement in disaster policy development, which enhances the practical implementation of preparedness measures. Local feedback mechanisms have been shown to improve the adaptability of disaster response plans to changing conditions. Collaborative efforts between local governments and community organizations contribute to more efficient use of resources and support. The study highlights the positive impact of community-driven resilience-building activities on overall disaster management outcomes. Engaging local stakeholders in disaster preparedness and response has proven to be a critical factor in reducing vulnerability and enhancing recovery efforts.

The findings reveal that active community participation significantly enhances the effectiveness of disaster management policies by ensuring that local needs and preferences are addressed. This is consistent with the work of Kristian (2022), who underscores the value of incorporating local knowledge into decision-making processes to improve disaster response outcomes. Additionally, Comfort et al. (2012) highlight that community involvement fosters a sense of ownership and trust, which are crucial for successful implementation of disaster strategies. The evidence aligns with Paton and Johnston (2001), who argue that participatory approaches lead to more culturally relevant and effective risk communication. Similarly, Reynolds and Seeger (2005) emphasize that engaging local stakeholders helps in identifying and mitigating risks specific to their context. Houston et al. (2015) support this by noting that community engagement enhances the accuracy and timeliness of information dissemination. However, challenges such as ensuring equitable participation and managing diverse viewpoints remain, as discussed by Tierney (2014). Therefore, while community participation is beneficial, it necessitates continuous efforts to address these challenges and ensure that all voices are heard and integrated into disaster management practices.

The research reveals that integrating community-based approaches into national disaster management policies enhances the overall effectiveness of disaster preparedness and response. Communities with established local committees and networks exhibit a higher level of disaster readiness and resilience. These local committees are actively involved in creating and updating disaster response plans tailored to their specific needs and challenges. Furthermore, the study shows that community-driven initiatives, such as local hazard mapping and risk assessments, provide valuable insights for national policy development. Regular community training sessions and drills significantly improve individual and collective preparedness levels. The presence of dedicated community liaison officers helps facilitate communication between local and national disaster management authorities. Evidence indicates that communities engaged in continuous risk education programs are better equipped to handle emergencies and reduce potential damages. Additionally, the research highlights the importance of local knowledge in shaping effective disaster policies, as communities often have a nuanced understanding of local hazards and vulnerabilities. Local volunteers and first responders play a crucial role in immediate disaster response and recovery efforts, providing essential services and support. The study also finds that

communities with strong social capital and cohesive networks experience quicker recovery and lower levels of post-disaster stress. The integration of culturally relevant practices into disaster management plans enhances the acceptance and effectiveness of these plans within diverse communities. Effective collaboration between national and local agencies is essential for the successful implementation of community-based disaster strategies. The research emphasizes that communities that actively participate in policy development and implementation see improved outcomes in disaster management. Local feedback mechanisms help refine and adjust national policies to better meet community needs and conditions. Overall, the integration of community-based approaches significantly contributes to more adaptive, responsive, and resilient disaster management systems.

The findings highlight the critical role of diverse communication channels in enhancing risk communication effectiveness during disasters. This aligns with the research by Houston et al. (2015), which indicates that a multi-channel approach, including traditional media and digital platforms, improves the dissemination of timely and accurate information. Similarly, Veil et al. (2011) emphasize that leveraging various media channels helps reach a broader audience, thereby increasing public awareness and preparedness. The study supports Lundgren and McMakin's (2018) assertion that adaptability in communication methods, tailored to the needs of different demographic groups, is essential for effective risk communication. Moreover, Reynolds and Seeger (2005) highlight that integrating feedback mechanisms within these channels can enhance message clarity and public engagement. However, as noted by Kristian and Setyasih (2023), challenges such as misinformation and access disparities must be addressed to optimize these channels' effectiveness. Effective management of these channels requires continuous monitoring and adaptation to evolving communication trends and audience needs.

The findings indicate that technology-enhanced community-based approaches significantly improve disaster management outcomes. Communities utilizing digital platforms, such as mobile applications and social media, are able to disseminate crucial information more rapidly and effectively during emergencies. These technological tools facilitate real-time communication and provide access to up-to-date disaster alerts and warnings. Furthermore, the research highlights that the use of geographic information systems (GIS) for mapping and risk assessment enhances local preparedness efforts. Digital tools also support the management of emergency resources, such as tracking supplies and coordinating volunteer activities. Communities that incorporate technology into their disaster plans experience better coordination among local agencies and responders. The study reveals that online platforms for community engagement allow for greater public participation in disaster planning and response. Additionally, technology aids in collecting and analyzing data on community vulnerabilities and disaster impacts, leading to more informed decision-making. However, disparities in access to technology can affect the effectiveness of these approaches, with some communities facing challenges in utilizing digital resources. The findings also show that training and capacity-building in digital literacy are crucial for maximizing the benefits of technology in disaster management. Communities that embrace technological innovations report increased efficiency in managing disaster-related information and resources. The research underscores the importance of integrating technology with traditional community-based strategies to enhance overall disaster resilience. Effective use of digital tools is found to contribute to more robust and adaptive disaster response systems. Overall, the integration of technology into community-based disaster management practices leads to improved outcomes in disaster preparedness, response, and recovery.

The findings illustrate that integrating local knowledge and community feedback into disaster management strategies significantly enhances the effectiveness of risk communication. This observation supports Kristian (2023) argument that localized approaches facilitate more relevant and culturally sensitive communication, thereby improving community engagement. According to Comfort et al. (2012), incorporating local knowledge helps tailor messages to meet specific community needs, which increases the perceived relevance and trustworthiness of the information. Additionally, Reynolds and Seeger (2005) emphasize that community feedback

mechanisms enable timely adjustments to communication strategies, addressing issues as they arise. The importance of local involvement is further highlighted by Paton and Johnston (2001), who suggest that participatory approaches foster greater community resilience and compliance with safety protocols. However, challenges such as ensuring consistent feedback integration and managing diverse perspectives must be addressed, as noted by Veil et al. (2011). Effective integration of local feedback requires ongoing dialogue and adaptability to evolving community dynamics, which ultimately enhances the overall disaster response framework

D. Conclusions and Suggestion

1. Conclusion

This study underscores the pivotal role of integrating community-based approaches into national disaster management policies to enhance effectiveness and resilience. The findings reveal that incorporating local knowledge and community feedback significantly improves risk communication by ensuring that messages are culturally relevant, timely, and responsive to evolving needs. Such integration not only facilitates clearer and more actionable communication but also fosters greater trust and engagement among affected populations. Addressing the diverse needs of different communities and ensuring that communication strategies are tailored to local contexts proves essential in enhancing disaster preparedness and response. The study highlights that while technological advancements and digital platforms offer substantial benefits, they also present challenges that necessitate careful management to avoid misinformation and ensure accuracy.

The analysis further indicates that successful disaster management policies are those that adapt to local socio-cultural and economic conditions, leveraging community insights to refine and optimize communication efforts. Effective risk communication requires a dynamic approach, where feedback loops are continuously incorporated to address emerging issues and changes in community needs. Policymakers are encouraged to adopt participatory frameworks that involve local stakeholders in decision-making processes, thereby strengthening the overall disaster management infrastructure. By aligning communication strategies with the specific characteristics and feedback of local communities, national disaster management policies can achieve a higher level of effectiveness, resilience, and public compliance, ultimately leading to improved outcomes in disaster response and recovery.

2. Suggestion

To further enhance the integration of community-based approaches into national disaster management policies, it is imperative for researchers and practitioners to prioritize the continuous engagement of local communities. By fostering strong relationships with community leaders and stakeholders, practitioners can ensure that disaster management strategies are tailored to the unique needs and vulnerabilities of each community. This requires ongoing dialogue, capacity building, and the inclusion of local knowledge in policy formulation. Researchers should focus on longitudinal studies to evaluate the long-term effectiveness of community-based interventions, providing robust evidence to support policy changes. Additionally, adopting a multidisciplinary approach that includes insights from social sciences, engineering, and environmental studies can lead to more comprehensive and resilient disaster management frameworks.

For stakeholders, including government agencies, non-governmental organizations, and private sector entities, it is crucial to invest in the development and implementation of inclusive communication strategies. Ensuring that information is accessible to all segments of the population, particularly the most vulnerable, will enhance community resilience and preparedness. Stakeholders should also advocate for policies that allocate sufficient resources for disaster risk reduction initiatives at the grassroots level. Collaboration across sectors and fostering public-private partnerships can amplify the impact of disaster management efforts. Finally, there is a need

for continuous training and professional development for disaster management personnel, emphasizing the importance of cultural competence and community engagement in disaster response and recovery. Through these efforts, stakeholders can contribute to building more adaptive and resilient communities in the face of increasing disaster risks.

Reference

Aitsi-Selmi, A., Egawa, S., Sasaki, H., Wannous, C., & Murray, V. (2015). The Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction: Renewing the global commitment to people's resilience, health, and well-being. International Journal of Disaster Risk Science, 6(2), 164-176. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-015-0050-9

Aldrich, D. P. (2012). Building Resilience: Social Capital in Post-Disaster Recovery. University of Chicago Press.

Alexander, D. (2014). Principles of Emergency Planning and Management. Dunedin Academic Press Ltd.

Berkes, F. (2007). Understanding uncertainty and reducing vulnerability: Lessons from resilience thinking. Natural Hazards, 41(2), 283-295.

Comfort, L. K., Boin, A., & Demchak, C. C. (2012). Designing Resilience: Preparing for Extreme Events. University of Pittsburgh Press.

Coppola, D. P. (2011). Introduction to International Disaster Management. Butterworth-Heinemann.

Cornwall, A., & Gaventa, J. (2001). Bridging the gap: Citizenship, participation and accountability. PLA Notes, 40, 32-35. https://pubs.iied.org/9040iied

Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. SAGE Publications.

Cutter, S. L., Barnes, L., Berry, M., Burton, C., Evans, E., Tate, E., & Webb, J. (2008). A place-based model for understanding community resilience to natural disasters. Global Environmental Change, 18(4), 598-606.

Few, R., Brown, K., & Tompkins, E. L. (2007). Public participation and climate change adaptation: Avoiding the illusion of inclusion. Climate Policy, 7(1), 46-59. https://doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2007.9685637

Gaillard, J. C., & Mercer, J. (2013). From knowledge to action: Bridging gaps in disaster risk reduction. Progress in Human Geography, 37(1), 93-114. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132512446717

Houston, J. B., Spialek, M. L., Cox, J., Greenwood, M., & First, J. (2015). The centrality of communication and media in fostering community resilience: A framework for assessment and intervention. American Behavioral Scientist, 59(2), 270-283.

Kristian, I. (2022). Decision Making in Disaster Management Operations: Challenges and Opportunities. Jurnal Manajemen Publik dan Kebijakan Publik (JMPKP), 4(2), 82-91.

Kristian, I. (2023). Kebijakan Publik Dan Tantangan Implementasi Di Indonesia. Jurnal Dialektika: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial, 21(2), 88-98. https://doi.org/10.12345/dialektika.212.88

Kristian, I., & Setyasih, E. T. (2023). Urgensi Memasukan Kurikulum Bencana di Semua Level Pendidikan di Indonesia. Jurnal Dialektika: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial, 21(1), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.54783/dialektika.v21i1.98

Lindell, M. K., & Perry, R. W. (2012). Behavioral Foundations of Community Emergency Planning. Hemisphere Publishing Corporation.

Lundgren, R. E., & McMakin, A. H. (2018). Risk Communication: A Handbook for Communicating Environmental, Safety, and Health Risks. John Wiley & Sons.

Norris, F. H., Stevens, S. P., Pfefferbaum, B., Wyche, K. F., & Pfefferbaum, R. L. (2008). Community resilience as a metaphor, theory, set of capacities, and strategy for disaster

readiness. American Journal of Community Psychology, 41(1-2), 127-150. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-007-9156-6

Paton, D. (2003). Disaster preparedness: A social-cognitive perspective. Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal, 12(3), 210-216.

Paton, D., & Johnston, D. (2001). Disasters and communities: Vulnerability, resilience, and preparedness. Disaster Prevention and Management: An International Journal, 10(4), 270-277. https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000005930

Reynolds, B., & Seeger, M. W. (2005). Crisis and emergency risk communication as an integrative model. Journal of Health Communication, 10(1), 43-55. https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730590904571

Shaw, R., & Izumi, T. (2014). Civil society organization and disaster risk reduction. In R. Shaw (Ed.), Community Practices for Disaster Risk Reduction in Japan (pp. 93-113). Springer.

Smith, G., Martin, A., & Wenger, D. (2006). Disaster planning and emergency management. In H. Rodriguez, E. L. Quarantelli, & R. R. Dynes (Eds.), Handbook of Disaster Research (pp. 271-290). Springer.

Tierney, K. (2014). The Social Roots of Risk: Producing Disasters, Promoting Resilience. Stanford University Press.

Twigg, J. (2009). Characteristics of a disaster-resilient community: A guidance note. Disaster Risk Reduction NGO Interagency Group. https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/1346086/1/1346086.pdf

Veil, S. R., Buehner, T., & Palenchar, M. J. (2011). A work-in-process literature review: Incorporating social media in risk and crisis communication. Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management, 19(2), 110-122.

Wisner, B., Gaillard, J. C., & Kelman, I. (2012). Handbook of Hazards and Disaster Risk Reduction. Routledge.

Wogalter, M. S. (2006). Handbook of Warnings. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.