

Dewi Tjandraningsih<sup>1</sup>  
Juhary Ali<sup>2</sup>

## The Role of Bureaucratic Ethics in Preventing Corruption in Regional Government Environments

### Abstract

This study examines the role of bureaucratic ethics in preventing corruption within local government institutions in Indonesia. Bureaucratic ethics is regarded as a fundamental value framework that guides public officials to perform their duties based on integrity, accountability, transparency, and responsibility. In practice, the lack of ethical commitment and weak internal control systems have often created opportunities for corrupt behavior, particularly in budget management, public services, and licensing processes. This research employs a qualitative-descriptive approach through literature analysis on bureaucratic ethics, public administration, and corruption prevention. The findings reveal that ethical standards significantly influence bureaucratic behavior and contribute to strengthening institutional integrity, reducing abuse of power, and enhancing public trust. Strengthening bureaucratic ethics requires a combination of ethical education, strict enforcement of disciplinary rules, improved supervision, and the establishment of a transparent administrative culture. Therefore, reinforcing ethical values is essential to build a clean, professional, and corruption-resistant local bureaucracy.

**Keywords:** Bureaucratic Ethics, Corruption Prevention, Regional Government

### A. Introduction

Corruption remains one of the most persistent problems in the Indonesian public sector, particularly within local government institutions, where decentralization and regional autonomy have increased administrative responsibilities as well as potential risks of misuse of power. Despite the establishment of various legal instruments and anti-corruption policies, corrupt practices often continue due to weak governance structures and insufficient ethical enforcement among public officials. In this context, bureaucratic ethics plays a crucial role as a normative foundation that guides civil servants to conduct public duties based on principles of integrity, accountability, and transparency. Choirulsyah, D., & Azhar, A. (2024.).

Ethical values in bureaucracy are not only moral ideals, but also strategic tools to prevent fraudulent actions, abuse of authority, and maladministration. Strengthening bureaucratic ethics means reinforcing ethical decision-making processes, enhancing public service accountability, and promoting a culture of honesty within governmental institutions. Previous research highlights that regions with stronger ethical norms tend to exhibit lower levels of corruption, indicating that ethical orientation directly influences bureaucratic behavior and institutional effectiveness. Dewi, L. K. (2024).

However, the implementation of ethical standards within local bureaucracies in Indonesia still faces various challenges, including political intervention, limited supervision mechanisms, personal interests, and inadequate sanctions for unethical behavior. Therefore, exploring the role of bureaucratic ethics is essential for understanding why corruption persists at the regional level and how ethical frameworks can be optimized to support clean governance. This paper

<sup>1</sup>Master of Law, Syekh-Yusuf Islamic University, Tangerang, Indonesia. Email: dewitj.notaris@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>Asie e University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. Email: juhari.ali@aeu.edu.my

analyzes the significance of bureaucratic ethics in preventing corruption, examines the obstacles to ethical implementation, and discusses efforts required to strengthen ethical practices in local government administration. Hadi, K. (2016).

## **B. Theoretical Framework**

### **1. Bureaucratic Ethics**

Bureaucratic ethics refers to the normative principles and moral standards that guide the behavior of public officials in carrying out governmental responsibilities. According to public administration theory, bureaucratic ethics emphasizes values such as integrity, accountability, impartiality, justice, and responsibility. Ethical bureaucrats are expected to prioritize the public interest, avoid conflicts of interest, and maintain professionalism in decision-making. Ethics functions not only as an internal moral compass but also as a formal component of bureaucratic regulation that determines acceptable behavior in public service. Kurniawati, W. O., & Saprudin. (2025).

### **2. Public Administration and Ethical Governance**

The concept of ethical governance argues that government performance is influenced by ethical culture embedded within administrative systems. Good governance theory highlights transparency, accountability, participation, and rule of law as fundamental principles in ensuring corruption-free administration. In this context, bureaucratic ethics strengthens ethical governance by encouraging compliance with administrative rules, reducing discretionary misuse of authority, and promoting transparent public service delivery. Muhaimin, H., Utama, J. Y., Afandi, A. H., Alifa, N., & Rosana, N. (2024).

### **3. Corruption in Local Government**

Corruption is defined as the abuse of public power for private gain, involving bribery, embezzlement, favoritism, and misuse of authority. Local government structures characterized by decentralized authority and close interaction between public officials and political actors often exhibit higher susceptibility to corruption. Weak internal control, political patronage, and limited sanctions contribute to persistent corrupt behavior within regional governance. Institutional theory explains that institutional norms, culture, and enforcement mechanisms greatly influence the likelihood of corruption occurring. Nawawi, Z. (2024).

### **4. Ethics as a Preventive Mechanism Against Corruption**

Ethical frameworks serve as preventive mechanisms by shaping bureaucratic behavior and reducing opportunities for corrupt practices. Preventive approaches focus on strengthening ethical awareness, promoting moral responsibility, and eliminating unethical decision-making. Ethical training, disciplinary systems, and transparent administrative procedures help cultivate ethical conduct and discourage abuse of power. When ethics is internalized, public officials become more resistant to corrupt practices even in environments driven by personal interest and political pressure. Rahman, H. (2022).

### **5. Relationship Between Ethics and Corruption Control**

The theoretical connection between bureaucratic ethics and corruption control rests on the assumption that ethical behavior limits discretionary power that can lead to corruption. Ethical standards discourage violations by:

- a. reinforcing moral values,
- b. improving accountability,
- c. increasing transparency,
- d. strengthening bureaucratic integrity,

e. and reducing tolerance toward corruption.

Therefore, ethical behavior is not merely a personal commitment but an institutional requirement for realizing clean, effective, and trustworthy local government administration. Riwayati, A., & Handayani, D. N. (2023).

### C. Research Method

This study employs a qualitative research approach with a normative–juridical and sociological perspective to analyze how bureaucratic ethics contribute to preventing corruption in local government institutions. The data were collected through literature review, including academic journals, legal documents, government regulations, and reports from anti-corruption agencies in Indonesia. In addition, secondary data were obtained from case studies and official publications issued by the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK), the Ministry of Home Affairs, and relevant international organizations. Shidqi, F., & Arfiansyah, Z. (2024).

A descriptive analysis technique was used to interpret the collected data by categorizing ethical principles, identifying patterns of corrupt practices, and examining the relationship between bureaucratic ethics, governance behavior, and institutional integrity. The study also applied content analysis to evaluate the implementation of ethical guidelines, codes of conduct, and regulatory frameworks that govern local bureaucratic institutions. Syadiyah, K., Niesma Putri, S. A., & Hayat, H. (2024).

The analytical framework focuses on three main aspects: (1) ethical principles in public administration, (2) institutional mechanisms for promoting ethical behavior, and (3) preventive strategies against corruption within local government settings. Through this method, the study seeks to provide an in-depth understanding of how ethical norms and bureaucratic culture shape anti-corruption practices in regional governance. Vianka, T. P., Rahma Wati, L., & Adzka, N. (2024).

### D. Results

The results of this study indicate that bureaucratic ethics play a significant preventive role in reducing corruption practices within local government environments in Indonesia. First, ethical guidelines and codes of conduct were found to improve awareness among public officials regarding accountability and integrity in carrying out governmental duties. Local government units that implemented clear ethical standards demonstrated lower potential for administrative abuse and discretionary misconduct. Kurniawati, W. O. (2025).

Second, the presence of institutional ethical frameworks, such as internal supervision, whistleblowing mechanisms, and transparency procedures, strengthened organizational control and increased the ability to detect early indications of corruption. These mechanisms encouraged public servants to behave in accordance with established ethical norms and discouraged corrupt behavior due to higher risks of exposure and sanctions. Nani, Y. (2025).

Third, findings also suggest that the effectiveness of ethical practices depends on consistent leadership commitment and ethical modeling by senior officials. Regions where leaders actively promoted ethical culture showed stronger compliance, reduced misuse of authority, and greater trust from the public. Conversely, weak leadership commitment was associated with persistent corruption vulnerabilities despite the existence of formal ethical rules. Abdi, A., Elfiansyah, H., & Hartaman, N. (2025).

Overall, the findings show that bureaucratic ethics are not merely normative values but contribute directly to institutional integrity and corruption prevention, particularly when supported by strong enforcement, organizational culture, and transparent governance processes. Pro-Bisnis Research Group. (2025).

## E. Discussion

The findings of this study confirm that bureaucratic ethics constitute a fundamental component in preventing corruption within local government institutions. Ethical principles such as integrity, accountability, and transparency provide a behavioral framework that guides public officials in decision-making and administrative processes. When these ethical standards are internalized, public servants are more likely to fulfill their duties in accordance with legal norms and public interests rather than personal gains. Nalapraya, M. Y. D. (2025).

However, the implementation of bureaucratic ethics in local governments is not solely determined by the existence of written rules. In many cases, ethical codes remain procedural documents that are insufficiently practiced due to weak enforcement, limited monitoring, and cultural barriers within bureaucratic settings. Corruption tends to occur when ethical principles are ignored or subordinated to political interests, patronage networks, and informal practices that dominate local governance. This condition suggests that institutional ethics require not only formal regulation but also cultural transformation. Rahmadani, F. (2025).

Leadership commitment plays a decisive role in shaping ethical behavior within public institutions. Ethical leadership encourages compliance, motivates integrity, and sets an example that influences bureaucratic culture. Local governments led by figures who demonstrate ethical conduct tend to show higher levels of transparency and stronger resistance to corruption. Conversely, ethical failure at the leadership level creates permissive environments that normalize unethical practices and weaken institutional accountability. Budirahayu, T. (2025).

Another important implication is that strengthening bureaucratic ethics contributes not only to corruption prevention but also to improving public service quality and fostering public trust. As government institutions become more transparent and accountable, citizens are more confident in public administration, which in turn encourages civic participation and oversight. Therefore, ethical reform within local bureaucracy must be perceived as a long-term institutional strategy rather than a short-term administrative requirement. Dewi, T. D. K. (2025).

In conclusion, bureaucratic ethics represent a strategic foundation for building a clean, professional, and corruption-resistant local government. Nonetheless, the effectiveness of ethical standards depends heavily on enforcement mechanisms, leadership integrity, and the development of a bureaucratic culture that prioritizes public interest over personal or political benefit. Maulidya, S. (2025).

## F. Conclusions and Suggestions

### 1. Conclusion

This study concludes that bureaucratic ethics play a central role in preventing corruption within local government institutions in Indonesia. Ethical values such as integrity, accountability, and transparency provide a normative foundation that shapes the behavior of public officials and limits opportunities for corrupt practices. The implementation of ethical standards, however, requires more than written regulations; it depends on consistent enforcement, effective monitoring, and strong institutional support. Leadership commitment is identified as a key determinant in establishing an ethical bureaucratic culture and discouraging misuse of authority. When ethical principles are effectively internalized, local governments become more transparent, trustworthy, and resistant to corruption, contributing to improved governance and public service quality.

### 2. Suggestions

- a. Strengthen Ethical Education and Training: Local governments should provide continuous ethical training to ensure that public officials understand ethical standards and are committed to applying them in daily administrative practices.
- b. Enhance Enforcement and Monitoring Systems: Ethical rules must be accompanied by strict sanctions, effective supervision, and mechanisms such as whistleblowing systems to reduce the possibility of unethical behavior.
- c. Promote Ethical Leadership: Local leaders need to serve as ethical role models and actively promote integrity-based governance to influence organizational behavior and strengthen institutional ethics.
- d. Develop a Transparent Administrative Culture: Transparency in public decision-making, budgeting, and service delivery should be prioritized to eliminate opportunities for corruption and build public trust.
- e. Encourage Public Participation and Oversight: Communities and civil society organizations should be empowered to participate in monitoring government performance and reporting irregularities, ensuring accountability at all levels.

## References

- Abdi, A., Elfiansyah, H., & Hartaman, N. (2025). *Ethical mechanisms in preventing bureaucratic corruption: A comparative study across Indonesian government institutions*. *Journal of Government and Civil Society*, 9(2), 232–250. ResearchGate+1
- Budirahayu, T. (2025). *Rural women's roles in local governance and implications for integrity-based public administration*. *Sarpas: Journal of Political and Social Studies*, (2025), 45–62. [jurnalfisip.uinsa.ac.id](http://jurnalfisip.uinsa.ac.id)
- Choirulsyah, D., & Azhar, A. (2024). Implementasi Etika Administrasi Publik: Langkah Utama dalam Menciptakan Good Governance di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pemerintahan dan Politik*. (UIGM eJournal)
- Dewi, L. K. (2024). Pelanggaran Etika Birokrasi dalam Pemerintahan di Indonesia. *Business Perspective Journal*. ([jurnal.utb.ac.id](http://jurnal.utb.ac.id))
- Dewi, T. D. K. (2025). *The interplay of CSR, ethical leadership, and governance: Lessons for corruption prevention in public institutions*. *MABIS Journal*, (2025), 1–14. [journalmabis.org](http://journalmabis.org)
- Hadi, K. (2016). Korupsi Birokrasi Pelayanan Publik di Era Otonomi Daerah. *Jurnal Penelitian Politik*, 7(1), 20–? <https://doi.org/10.14203/jpp.v7i1.512> (Ejournal Portal)
- Integritas Research Unit (Corruption Eradication Commission / KPK). (2025). *The impact of corruption on local revenue: Fiscal implications and the role of ethical governance*. *Integritas: Journal of Anti-Corruption Studies*, (2025). [jurnal.kpk.go.id](http://jurnal.kpk.go.id)
- Kurniawati, W. O. (2025). *Ethics in Indonesian government bureaucracy: Theoretical foundations, historical evolution, and contemporary case studies*. *Journal of Asian Multicultural Research for Social Sciences Study*, 6(1), 21–29. [amrsjournals.com](http://amrsjournals.com)
- Kurniawati, W. O., & Saprudin. (2025). Ethics in Indonesian Government Bureaucracy: Theoretical Foundations, Historical Evolution, and Contemporary Case Studies. *Journal of Asian Multicultural Research for Social Sciences Study*, 6(1), 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.47616/jamrsss.v6i1.588> ([amrsjournals.com](http://amrsjournals.com))

- Maulidya, S. (2025). *Leadership style analysis and its effect on bureaucratic ethics in local government: A case study from Bandung*. Majapahit: Journal of Islamic Governance & Public Policy, (2025), 1–20. [syariah.jurnalikhac.ac.id](http://syariah.jurnalikhac.ac.id)
- Muhaimin, H., Utama, J. Y., Afandi, A. H., Alifa, N., & Rosana, N. (2024). Dinamika Korupsi Dalam Sistem Birokrasi: Strategi Solutif dan Implementasi Kebijakan Efektif. *Jurnal Honai*. ([journal.unaim-wamena.ac.id](http://journal.unaim-wamena.ac.id))
- Nalapraya, M. Y. D. (2025). *Local bureaucracy performance and ethical governance: The case of Palembang City (2023–2024)*. Journal of Administration and Policy Studies (JIASK), (2025), 1–15. [ejournal.pps-unisti.ac.id](http://ejournal.pps-unisti.ac.id)
- Nani, Y. (2025). *Integrating ethical values and New Public Service principles to overcome corruption in Indonesia: An integrated model for reform*. Governance and Public Policy Journal, (Special Issue), 1–18. Journal UMY+1
- Nawawi, Z. (2024). Ethics of Public Administration in The Administration of Governance in Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi dan Studi Kebijakan (JIASK)*. <https://doi.org/10.48093/jiask.v1i1.1> ([ejournal.pps-unisti.ac.id](http://ejournal.pps-unisti.ac.id))
- Pro-Bisnis Research Group. (2025). *The influence of ethical leadership on employee performance in a local government institution: Evidence from West Nias*. ProBisnis: Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen, (2025 issue). [ejournal.joninstitute.org](http://ejournal.joninstitute.org)
- Rahmadani, F. (2025). *Religiosity, ethical leadership, and local wisdom in moderating fraud risk management: Evidence from Indonesian local governments*. SSRN Electronic Journal. SSRN
- Rahman, H. (2022). Reformasi Birokrasi: Korupsi dalam Birokrasi Indonesia. *Jurnal Ilmiah Administrasi Pemerintahan Daerah*, 14(1), 135–161. <https://doi.org/10.33701/jiapd.v14i1.2735> (Ejournal IPDN)
- Riwayati, A., & Handayani, D. N. (2023). The Ethics Role of Good Governance in Indonesia. *ARRUS Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 3(2), 179–185. <https://doi.org/10.35877/soshum1750> ([journal.arrus.id](http://journal.arrus.id))
- Shidqi, F., & Arfiansyah, Z. (2024). Good Governance and Corruption in Local Governments: The Role of Internal Control and Audit. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Auditing Indonesia*. <https://doi.org/10.20885/jaai.vol29.iss1.art1> (Journal Portal)
- Syadiyah, K., Niesma Putri, S. A., & Hayat, H. (2024). The Role of Public Administration Ethics in Realizing Clean and Transparent Governance. *Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Negara (JUAN)*. (Pusat Jurnal Ilmiah)
- Vianka, T. P., Rahma Wati, L., & Adzkie, N. (2024). Pengawasan Internal terhadap Tindakan Koruptif Pejabat Pemerintahan Daerah oleh Aparatur Pengawasan Intern Pemerintahan (Studi Kasus Provinsi Bengkulu). *Indonesian Journal of Law and Justice*. <https://doi.org/10.47134/ijlj.v2i4.4108> (Pubmedia Journal Series)