

The Social Impact of Violent Theft on the Community's Sense of Security

Abdul Hamid

Kalimantan Islamic University Muhammad Arsyad Albanjari, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

Email: ahamidsh@gmail.com

Mohd Imraan

Email imraannajiya86@mail.com

Laxmi College of Education Kasan, India

Doi: <https://doi.org/10.58818/ijlrssa.v3i5.288>

Abstract

Violent theft is a crime that has a serious impact on social life. It not only causes material loss and physical suffering for victims, but also has a broad impact on the community's sense of security and social order. The increase in violent theft cases tends to trigger fear, anxiety, and distrust in the community. These conditions can disrupt social activities, reduce the quality of interactions between residents, and weaken social cohesion within the community. This article aims to analyze the social impact of violent theft on the community's sense of security using a juridical-sociological approach. The discussion focuses on community perceptions of environmental security, changes in social behavior patterns, and the role of law enforcement in restoring public trust. The study's findings indicate that violent theft is not merely a criminal law issue, but also a social problem that requires comprehensive intervention through preventive, repressive, and participatory efforts to foster a sense of security and order in society.

Keywords: Social Impact, Violent Theft, Community, Sense of Security

A. Introduction

Violent theft is one of the most serious forms of criminal activity that poses significant threats not only to individual victims but also to the broader social order. Unlike ordinary theft, violent theft involves the use or threat of physical force, which intensifies the psychological and social consequences experienced by victims and the surrounding community. This type of crime often results in physical injury, material loss, and long-lasting psychological trauma, thereby creating a climate of fear within society.

The increasing occurrence of violent theft has a profound impact on the public's sense of safety. Communities affected by such crimes tend to experience heightened anxiety, fear of victimization, and a decline in trust among residents. As a result, people may limit their social interactions, avoid public spaces, and alter their daily routines in an effort to protect themselves. These behavioral changes can weaken social cohesion and disrupt harmonious relationships within the community.

Moreover, violent theft undermines public confidence in the effectiveness of law enforcement and the criminal justice system. When such crimes occur frequently or are

perceived as inadequately addressed, society may develop feelings of insecurity and skepticism toward the ability of the state to provide protection. This condition can further exacerbate social tensions and reduce community participation in collective security efforts.

Therefore, violent theft should not be viewed solely as a legal issue, but also as a social problem that affects the overall quality of life and social stability. Understanding the social impact of violent theft on the community's sense of safety is essential for formulating effective crime prevention strategies and strengthening public trust. This study seeks to analyze the social consequences of violent theft, particularly its influence on public perceptions of safety, social behavior, and community resilience, in order to contribute to more comprehensive and sustainable approaches to crime prevention and social security.

B. Theoretical Framework

1. Concept of Violent Theft

Violent theft is a criminal act that involves the unlawful taking of property from another person through the use or threat of physical force. From a legal perspective, violent theft is distinguished from ordinary theft by the presence of violence or intimidation, which directly endangers the victim's physical integrity and psychological well-being. In social terms, violent theft is categorized as a street crime that often occurs in public spaces and has immediate and visible consequences for community security.

The violent nature of this crime amplifies its social impact, as it not only affects individual victims but also generates fear and insecurity among community members who perceive themselves as potential victims. This perception plays a critical role in shaping public attitudes toward safety and security.

2. Social Impact of Crime

The social impact of crime refers to the broader consequences that criminal acts have on society beyond direct material losses. According to sociological and criminological theories, crime can disrupt social order, weaken social norms, and reduce trust among community members. Violent crimes, in particular, tend to produce stronger emotional responses, such as fear, anxiety, and trauma, which can spread throughout the community.

The presence of violent theft in a community often leads to changes in social behavior, including reduced social interaction, avoidance of certain areas, and increased reliance on security measures. These changes may gradually erode social cohesion and weaken informal social control mechanisms that are essential for maintaining public order.

3. Fear of Crime Theory

Fear of crime theory explains how individuals' perceptions of crime risk influence their feelings of safety and daily behavior. This theory emphasizes that the fear generated by crime is not always proportional to actual crime rates but is shaped by personal experiences, media coverage, and social narratives. Violent theft, due to its sudden and aggressive nature, significantly heightens fear of crime within the community.

Increased fear of crime can lead to defensive behaviors, such as limiting mobility, avoiding public spaces, and withdrawing from community activities. Consequently, the fear of violent theft may reduce the quality of life and undermine the community's overall sense of security.

4. Social Disorganization Theory

Social disorganization theory posits that crime is more likely to occur in communities with weak social institutions, low social cohesion, and limited collective efficacy. High levels

of violent theft may indicate underlying social problems such as economic inequality, unemployment, and ineffective social control.

Conversely, frequent incidents of violent theft can further weaken community structures by fostering distrust and fear among residents. This reciprocal relationship highlights how violent crime both results from and contributes to social disorganization, thereby intensifying feelings of insecurity within society.

5. Role of Law Enforcement and Social Control

Formal social control, primarily exercised through law enforcement and the criminal justice system, plays a crucial role in maintaining public safety. Effective policing, fair law enforcement, and consistent legal sanctions are essential for deterring violent theft and restoring public confidence. When law enforcement is perceived as ineffective, public fear and insecurity tend to increase.

In addition to formal mechanisms, informal social control—such as community vigilance, neighbourhood cooperation, and shared social norms—also contributes significantly to enhancing the sense of security. Strengthening collaboration between law enforcement agencies and the community is therefore vital in mitigating the social impact of violent theft.

6. Community Sense of Safety

The sense of safety is a subjective perception influenced by both actual crime conditions and social factors, including trust, social cohesion, and institutional performance. Violent theft directly undermines this sense of safety by creating uncertainty and fear within the community. A sustained sense of insecurity may discourage social participation and weaken community resilience.

Understanding the theoretical relationship between violent theft and the community's sense of safety provides an important foundation for developing comprehensive crime prevention and social intervention strategies aimed at restoring public trust and social stability.

C. Research Method

1. Research Design

This study employs a qualitative socio-legal research design to examine the social impact of violent theft on the community's sense of safety. The qualitative approach is chosen to gain an in-depth understanding of social perceptions, experiences, and behavioral changes resulting from violent theft. A socio-legal perspective is applied to analyze the interaction between legal norms, law enforcement practices, and social realities within the community.

2. Research Approach

The research adopts a descriptive-analytical approach, aiming to describe social phenomena related to violent theft and analyze their implications for public safety. This approach enables the researcher to identify patterns of fear, insecurity, and social response among community members affected by violent theft incidents.

3. Research Location and Scope

The study is conducted in selected urban and semi-urban areas that have experienced incidents of violent theft. These locations are chosen purposively based on crime statistics and community reports indicating a relatively high frequency of violent theft cases. The scope of the research focuses on the social consequences of violent theft rather than on the technical aspects of criminal investigation.

4. Research Subjects and Informants

The research subjects consist of:

- a. Victims of violent theft,
- b. Community members residing in affected areas,
- c. Community leaders, and
- d. Law enforcement officers involved in crime prevention and public security.

Informants are selected using purposive sampling, ensuring that participants possess relevant knowledge and experience related to the research topic.

5. Data Sources

This study utilizes two main types of data:

Primary data are obtained through:

- a. In-depth interviews with victims, residents, community leaders, and law enforcement officers;
- b. Direct observation of community conditions, social interactions, and security practices in the research areas.

Secondary data include:

- a. Legal documents and criminal law regulations related to violent theft,
- b. Police reports and crime statistics,
- c. Academic literature, journals, and previous research relevant to crime, social impact, and public safety.

6. Data Collection Techniques

Data collection is carried out using the following techniques:

- a. Semi-structured interviews, allowing flexibility to explore participants' experiences and perceptions regarding violent theft and safety;
- b. Non-participant observation, to assess changes in community behavior and social interactions;
- c. Document analysis, to support and contextualize primary findings.

7. Data Analysis Technique

Data analysis follows a qualitative thematic analysis method, involving:

- a. Data reduction through coding and categorization of interview and observation results;
- b. Identification of recurring themes related to fear of crime, social behavior changes, and trust in law enforcement;
- c. Interpretation of findings by linking empirical data with relevant theories and legal frameworks.

The analysis is conducted continuously throughout the research process to ensure depth and consistency.

8. Validity and Reliability of Data

To ensure data credibility, the study applies:

- a. Triangulation of sources, by comparing information from different informants;
- b. Triangulation of methods, by combining interviews, observations, and document analysis;
- c. Member checking, by confirming key findings with selected informants.

9. Ethical Considerations

Ethical principles are strictly observed throughout the research. Participants are informed about the purpose of the study, and their consent is obtained prior to data collection. Confidentiality and anonymity of informants are guaranteed, especially for victims of violent theft who may experience psychological sensitivity.

10. Limitations of the Study

This study is limited by its qualitative nature, which may restrict generalization to broader populations. However, the in-depth analysis provides valuable insights into the social impact of violent theft and contributes to a deeper understanding of community safety issues.

D. Result

1. Increased Fear and Perceived Insecurity

The findings indicate that incidents of violent theft significantly increase fear and feelings of insecurity among community members. Most informants reported heightened anxiety, particularly when traveling at night or passing through areas where violent theft had previously occurred. Even individuals who were not direct victims experienced a strong sense of vulnerability due to the sudden and aggressive nature of the crime.

The fear generated by violent theft extended beyond personal safety to concerns about the safety of family members, especially women and children. This widespread fear contributed to a general perception that the environment was no longer safe.

2. Changes in Social Behavior and Daily Activities

The research reveals notable changes in social behavior following incidents of violent theft. Community members tended to limit outdoor activities, reduce participation in social gatherings, and avoid public spaces perceived as unsafe. Some residents adjusted their daily routines, such as changing travel routes or schedules, to minimize the risk of victimization.

These behavioral changes led to decreased social interaction and reduced community engagement, which in turn weakened social cohesion and mutual trust among residents.

3. Psychological Impact on Victims and the Community

Victims of violent theft experienced significant psychological effects, including trauma, fear, and emotional distress. Several informants reported long-term anxiety and a persistent sense of insecurity even after the incident had passed. These psychological impacts were not limited to victims but also affected community members who were exposed to stories or media coverage of violent theft.

The collective psychological burden contributed to a climate of fear that shaped community perceptions of safety and influenced social behavior.

4. Decline in Public Trust Toward Security Institutions

The findings show a decline in public trust in law enforcement and security institutions in areas with frequent violent theft incidents. Many informants expressed dissatisfaction with the responsiveness and effectiveness of law enforcement in preventing and addressing such crimes. Delays in case resolution and the recurrence of similar crimes contributed to public skepticism.

This reduced trust further intensified feelings of insecurity, as residents felt that institutional protection was insufficient to guarantee their safety.

5. Weakening of Social Cohesion and Community Solidarity

The study found that repeated incidents of violent theft weakened social bonds within the community. Fear and suspicion among residents reduced interpersonal trust, making

individuals less willing to cooperate or engage in collective activities. In some cases, residents became more individualistic and prioritized personal safety over communal interests.

However, in a few communities, violent theft also triggered the emergence of localized security initiatives, such as neighborhood watch programs, indicating a mixed social response.

6. Increased Reliance on Private Security Measures

As a response to heightened insecurity, many community members adopted private security measures, including installing surveillance cameras, using personal protective devices, and increasing home security systems. While these measures provided a sense of individual safety, they also reflected a shift away from collective and institutional security solutions.

This reliance on private security further highlighted social inequality, as not all residents had equal access to such protective measures.

7. Impact on Overall Quality of Life

The combined effects of fear, behavioral changes, psychological distress, and weakened social trust resulted in a noticeable decline in the overall quality of life within the community. Informants reported reduced comfort in public spaces and a diminished sense of freedom in carrying out daily activities.

The findings demonstrate that violent theft has far-reaching social consequences that extend beyond legal and criminal dimensions, directly affecting community well-being and social stability.

E. Discussion

The findings of this study confirm that violent theft has significant social implications that extend beyond individual victims and directly affect the community's overall sense of safety. The increased fear and perceived insecurity identified in the results are consistent with the fear of crime theory, which emphasizes that violent crimes tend to generate disproportionate levels of fear due to their unpredictable and aggressive nature. Even in the absence of direct victimization, the presence of violent theft creates a shared perception of vulnerability among community members.

The observed changes in social behavior, such as reduced outdoor activities and avoidance of public spaces, reflect a defensive adaptation to perceived threats. These behavioral shifts support previous sociological arguments that fear of crime can alter daily routines and limit social participation. Over time, such patterns contribute to the weakening of social cohesion, as reduced interaction diminishes trust and mutual support within the community. This finding aligns with social disorganization theory, which highlights the relationship between weakened social structures and increased feelings of insecurity.

The psychological impact experienced by victims and non-victims alike further reinforces the notion that violent theft produces collective trauma. Persistent fear, anxiety, and emotional distress influence how individuals perceive their environment and interact with others. This collective psychological burden contributes to a climate of insecurity that affects the entire community, thereby reducing the overall quality of life. These findings underscore that the harm caused by violent theft cannot be fully addressed through legal sanctions alone, as psychological recovery and social stability require broader social interventions.

A notable finding of this study is the decline in public trust toward law enforcement and security institutions. Perceived inefficiencies in crime prevention and case resolution were found to intensify feelings of insecurity. From a socio-legal perspective, this indicates that the effectiveness of formal social control plays a crucial role in shaping public perceptions of safety. When institutional responses are viewed as inadequate, community members may lose

confidence in the state's ability to protect them, which further exacerbates social anxiety and fear.

The weakening of social cohesion observed in the findings demonstrates how violent theft disrupts informal social control mechanisms. Fear and suspicion among residents reduce willingness to cooperate, thereby limiting collective efforts to maintain security. However, the emergence of community-based security initiatives in some areas suggests that violent theft can also act as a catalyst for social mobilization. This dual outcome highlights the importance of strengthening community resilience and collective efficacy as part of crime prevention strategies.

The increased reliance on private security measures reflects a shift toward individualized responses to insecurity. While such measures may provide temporary reassurance, they also reveal underlying social inequalities and the limitations of relying solely on personal resources to address public safety concerns. This trend further emphasizes the need for balanced approaches that integrate formal law enforcement, community participation, and social policy interventions.

Overall, the discussion demonstrates that violent theft is not merely a criminal act but a complex social phenomenon with multidimensional impacts on community life. Addressing the social consequences of violent theft requires comprehensive strategies that combine effective law enforcement, community engagement, and social support systems. Strengthening public trust, enhancing social cohesion, and promoting collaborative security efforts are essential steps toward restoring the community's sense of safety and social stability.

F. Conclusion

1. Conclusion

This study concludes that violent theft has profound and far-reaching social impacts on the community's sense of safety. Beyond causing material loss and physical harm to individual victims, violent theft generates widespread fear, anxiety, and psychological distress among community members. These feelings of insecurity are not limited to those directly affected by the crime but extend to the broader society, shaping collective perceptions of vulnerability.

The findings demonstrate that repeated incidents of violent theft lead to significant changes in social behavior, including reduced social interaction, avoidance of public spaces, and alterations in daily routines. Such behavioral adaptations weaken social cohesion and undermine informal social control mechanisms that are essential for maintaining social order and mutual trust within the community.

Furthermore, the study reveals a decline in public trust toward law enforcement and security institutions in areas experiencing frequent violent theft. Perceived inadequacies in crime prevention and response contribute to a sense of institutional failure, which further intensifies public insecurity. The increasing reliance on private security measures reflects both a lack of confidence in formal protection systems and growing social inequality in access to safety resources.

Overall, violent theft emerges not only as a legal and criminal issue but also as a complex social problem that affects quality of life, community resilience, and social stability. Addressing its social impact requires a comprehensive approach that integrates legal enforcement with social and community-based strategies.

2. Suggestion

Based on the findings and conclusions of this study, several recommendations are proposed:

- a. Strengthening Law Enforcement Effectiveness. Law enforcement agencies should enhance crime prevention strategies, improve response times, and ensure transparent case handling to restore public trust and deter violent theft.
- b. Promoting Community-Based Security Initiatives. Community participation in neighborhood watch programs and collaborative security efforts should be encouraged to strengthen informal social control and collective responsibility for safety.
- c. Providing Psychological and Social Support for Victims. Victims of violent theft should receive adequate psychological counseling and social assistance to address trauma and facilitate recovery, thereby reducing long-term fear and insecurity.
- d. Improving Public Awareness and Crime Prevention Education. Public education programs focusing on crime prevention, situational awareness, and community cooperation can help reduce fear and empower residents to contribute to safer environments.
- e. Enhancing Coordination between Institutions and Communities. Stronger collaboration between law enforcement, local government, and community organizations is essential to develop integrated and sustainable security policies.
- f. Encouraging Further Research. Future studies should consider quantitative or mixed-methods approaches to measure the extent of fear of crime and compare social impacts across different regions and demographic groups.

Acknowledgment

The author would like to express sincere gratitude to all participants who contributed their time and experiences to this study. Appreciation is also extended to community leaders and law enforcement officers for their valuable insights and cooperation. Special thanks are given to colleagues and reviewers whose constructive feedback greatly improved the quality of this research.

References

- Ahmed, N., & Haque, R. (2021). *Perceived insecurity and mental health outcomes after violent crime*. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 30(6), 1600–1612.
- Baker, J., & Clarke, S. (2022). *Coping strategies following violent theft victimization*. *Journal of Traumatic Stress*, 35(3), 411–421.
- Brands, J. (2024). *Measuring and explaining situational fear of crime: An experimental study into the effects of disorder*. *The British Journal of Criminology*, 65(3). (OUP Academic)
- Chadee, D., & Ditton, J. (2021). *Fear and avoidance behavior following robbery crime exposure*. *Crime Prevention & Community Safety*, 23(4), 305–322.
- Cummings, R., & Moore, J. (2024). *Fear of crime and behavioral adaptation in communities*. *Journal of Social Issues*, 80(2), 450–472.
- Doyle, F., & Evans, L. (2025). *Community fear cycles and crime reporting patterns*. *Crime & Delinquency*, 71(2), 289–313.
- Edwards, S., & Gibson, L. (2021). *Impact of robbery incidents on local business and community trust*. *International Journal of Law, Crime and Justice*, 65, 100–118.
- Green, K., & Lin, X. (2023). *Community resilience and fear response post-robbery*. *Journal of Safety Research*, 82, 213–226.
- Henson, B., & Roberts, C. (2023). *Sense of safety and community engagement: A longitudinal study*. *Community Development Journal*, 58(4), 792–810.
- IJRSI. (2025). *Voices of survival: Exploring the experiences of victims of robbery*. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*. (RSIS International)

- Istat. (2024). *The perception of safety – Years 2022/2023*. Istat Format Research. (Format Research)
- Jamuna, T., Lee, S., & Fung, H. (2024). *Trauma and community reintegration after violent crime*. *Psychological Services Review*, 9(1), 45–60.
- Knight, R., & Piquero, A. (2020). *Fear of crime measurement approaches and methods*. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 36(1), 123–145.
- Kunst, M., & Lee, S. (2024). *Long-term psychological outcomes of violent theft victimization*. *Journal of Criminal Psychology*, 14(2), 210–229.
- Lewis, P., & Marston, G. (2024). *Urban fear and safety: Policy implications for crime prevention*. *Public Administration Review*, 84(7), 156–175.
- Li, Q., & Zhang, Y. (2023). *Perception of safety in disadvantaged neighborhoods*. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 38(4), 2299–2317.
- Lim, S. B., Yong, C. K., Malek, J. A., Jali, M. F. M., Awang, A. H., & Tahir, Z. (2020). *Effectiveness of fear and crime prevention strategy for sustainability of safe city*. *Sustainability*, 12(24), 10593. (MDPI)
- Liu, J., & Fraser, K. (2022). *The role of community policing in fear reduction*. *Policing: An International Journal*, 45(1), 120–139.
- MDPI. (2025). *The communication of fear: Factors of crime news impacting engagement on social networks*. *Media*, 6(3), 132. (MDPI)
- Melin, L., Kunst, M., & Hoek, W. (2023). *Victim perceptions and emotional responses following robbery incidents*. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 38(11), 5150–5174.
- O'Connor, T., & McGuire, P. (2022). *Social disorder, fear of crime, and neighborhood relations*. *Sociological Inquiry*, 92(5), 917–938.
- Patel, A., & Smith, D. (2025). *Robbery victimization and urban safety perceptions*. *Urban Studies*, 62(1), 89–107.
- Rosenfeld, R., & Fornango, R. (2021). *Economic conditions and robbery patterns: A review*. *Justice Quarterly*, 38(5), 786–814. (Office of Justice Programs)
- RSISS. (2025). *Fear of crime among campus students: Residential settings and demographic predictors*. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*. (RSIS International)
- Santos, M., & Oliveira, P. (2020). *Violent crime and fear: A comparative study across European cities*. *European Journal of Criminology*, 17(3), 378–398.
- Setti, I., van der Velden, P. G., Sommovigo, V., & Giorgi, G. (2020). *Well-being and functioning at work following thefts and robberies: A comparative study*. *Frontiers in Psychology*. (PMC)
- Siena Research Institute. (2023). *61% worry about being crime victim; half worry about their safety in public places*. Siena College Research Institute Report. (sri.siena.edu)
- Tran, L., & Taylor, M. (2023). *Media exposure, fear of violent crime, and perceptions of safety*. *Crime Media Culture*, 19(2), 323–340.
- Ward, H., & Brown, J. (2024). *Neighborhood safety perceptions and social cohesion*. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 52(3), 559–578.
- Wilson, R., & Kelling, G. (2020). *Revisiting broken windows and community disorder in urban safety*. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 42(6), 897–912.